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Abstract

The objective of this study is to conduct a critical evaluation of the environmental law framework applicable to carbon capture and storage (hereafter CCS) in South Africa. The discussion begins by confirming that CCS has a place in environmental law as a mitigation measure. The inclusion of CCS in the clean development mechanism could incentivise the development of environmental law frameworks for CCS in South Africa. Implementation of CCS is gradual, with only eight large scale integrated CCS projects having been established around the world. An appreciation of key scientific concepts is helpful for an understanding of the CCS process.

The CCS project life cycle and related impacts on the environment provide a context for discussion of the legal requirements accompanying the CCS life cycle. The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 and the National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 constitute appropriate framework legislation for CCS. Decision 3/CMP.1, Modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism as defined in Article 12 of the Kyoto Protocol adopted by the Conference of the Parties serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol held at Montreal from 28 November to 10 December 2001 March 2006 provides international legal requirements accompanying the project life cycle against which the South African legal framework is examined. Some provisions of additional South African laws and policies will be applicable to CCS depending on the nature of the specific CCS project, but specific regulations may have to be developed for South Africa. Policy documents have been gradually bringing clarity to the way forward in arriving at a legal framework for CCS, and by reference to existing local legislation and international guidance, an environmental law framework for CCS can be developed for South Africa.

Keywords: Environmental law, global warming, carbon dioxide, mitigation and carbon capture and storage.
Opsomming

Die doel van hierdie studie is om 'n kritiese evaluasie van die omgewingsregtelike raamwerk wat op "koolstofvang en stoor" (hierna CCS) in Suid-Afrika van toepassing is. Dit is bevestig dat CCS 'n plek as 'n mitigasie maatreël in omgewingsreg het. Die insluiting van CCS in die skoon ontwikkelingsmechanisme mag die ontwikkeling van 'n Suid-Afrikaanse omgewingsreg raamwerk aanspoor. Implementering van CCS is geleidelik, met net acht groot skaal geïntegreerde CCS projekte wêreldwyd gestig. 'n Verstaan en begrip van belangrike konsepte is noodsaaklik vir 'n begrip van die CCS proses.

Die CCS projek levenssiklus en aanverwante impakke op die omgewing voor- sien konteks vir die bespreking van regsvereistes wat die CCS levenssiklus vergesel. Die Grondwet van die Republiek van Suid-Afrika, 1996 en die Wet op Nasionale Omgewingsbestuur 107 van 1998 dien as toepaslike raamwerk wetgewing vir CCS. Die sogenaamde "Beslussing 3/CMP.1, modaliteit en prosedures vir 'n skoon ontwikkelingsmechanisme soos omskryf in artikel 12 van die Kyoto-protokol deur die konferensie van die partye wat dien as die vergadering van die partye tot die Kyoto-protokol gehou by Montreal vanaf 28 November tot 10 Desember 2001 Maart 2006" lê internasionale vereistes neer vir die projek levenssiklus waarteen die Suid-Afrikaanse regsraamwerk ondersoek word in hierdie studie. Van die bepalings van Suid-Afrikaanse wetgewing sal van toepassing wees op CCS afhangende van die aard van die spesifieke CCS projek, maar spesifieke regulasies sal moontlik ontwikkel moet word vir Suid-Afrika om by 'n volledige omgewingsreg raamwerk vir CCS uittekom. Beleidsdokumente het geleidelik helderheid begin verleen aan die pad vorentoe, en met verwysing na huidige nasionale wetgewing en internasionale leiding, kan 'n Suid-Afrikaanse omgewingsreg raamwerk vir CCS ontwikkel word.

Sleutelwoorde: Omgewingsreg, klimaatsverwarming, mitigasie en "koolstofvang en stoor".
1 Introduction

A South African definition of climate change may be appropriate to contextualise any analysis of the local response thereto. According to the White Paper on the National Climate Change Response\(^1\) (hereafter WPNCCR), climate change is "an on-going trend of changes in the earth's general weather conditions as a result of an average rise in the temperature of the earth's surface often referred to as global warming".\(^2\) This temperature increase is widely understood to be caused by gases called "greenhouse gases" (hereafter GHG's) which intensify a natural phenomenon called the "greenhouse effect" by forming an insulating layer in the atmosphere that reduces the amount of the sun's heat that radiates back into space and therefore has the effect of warming the earth.\(^3\)

Environmental law is a rapidly evolving field and includes the emerging subject of climate change law and governance.\(^4\) Within the climate change law and governance fraternity, it is widely agreed that combating climate change requires both mitigative measures and adaptation to its effects.\(^5\) Mitigation in this instance refers to efforts to reduce or prevent emission of greenhouse gases.\(^6\)

Adaptation is "the process of adjustment to actual or expected climate and its effects in order to moderate harm or exploit beneficial opportunities".\(^7\) It is considered that mitigation and adaptation are not substitutable or alternative forms of response to climate change, but together form a portfolio of responses to

---

1 GN 757 in GG 34695 of 20 October 2011 White Paper on the National Climate Change Response Department of Environmental Affairs (WPNCCR).
2 GN 757 in GG 34695 of 20 October 2011 8
3 GN 757 in GG 34695 of 20 October 2011 8; Department of Environmental Affairs October 2011; Global CCS Institute Global status of CCS update June 2012 5; Metz et al. IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage (hereafter SRCCS) 3.
6 UNEP Climate Change Mitigation 1, EPA Air Pollution Impacts from Carbon Capture and Storage 5 EPA 17 November 2011.
climate change, with CCS being regarded as a bridging technology towards mitigation.\textsuperscript{8} Several mechanisms have already been designed internationally and at the national level to mitigate and/or adapt to climate change. One of these mechanisms is carbon capture and storage (hereafter CCS) which falls within the portfolio of mitigation options.\textsuperscript{9} CCS is not intended to replace other mitigation options, although it is feared that it might do so, partly due to the support it enjoys from the fossil fuel industry.\textsuperscript{10}

The OED defines CCS as "the process of trapping carbon dioxide produced by burning fossil fuels or other chemical or biological process and storing it in such a way that it is unable to affect the atmosphere". A further description of CCS is "CCS involves capturing of CO\textsubscript{2} from the flue gasses in thermal power plants, transport of CO\textsubscript{2} via pipelines or other means (ship, train, truck) and injection of the CO\textsubscript{2} in a suitable storage site."\textsuperscript{11} The second description is somewhat limited in scope as it does not refer to sources other than flue gasses. The first definition does not, for example, mention transport, which can also take place by pipeline. For purposes of this dissertation CCS is understood to be a method of preventing CO\textsubscript{2} from entering the atmosphere by capturing it and transporting it to a location where it can be buried underground with a degree of permanence.

The Clean Development Mechanism (hereafter CDM) is one of the flexibility mechanisms established under the Kyoto Protocol.\textsuperscript{12} Under the CDM developed countries can conduct projects to reduce emissions in developing countries to earn certified emission reduction (hereafter CER) credits for themselves.\textsuperscript{13} These

\begin{thebibliography}{9}
\bibitem{9} Metz \textit{et al} IPCC SRCCS 22.
\bibitem{10} Doelle and Lukaweski 2012 \textit{Climate Law} 49.
\bibitem{13} UNFCC http://cdm.unfccc.int/about/index.html.
\end{thebibliography}
saleable credits can be used by industrialised countries to meet a part of their emission reduction targets under the Kyoto Protocol.\textsuperscript{14}

Under Decision 10/CMP.7 of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (hereafter UNFCCC), the modalities and procedures for CCS were adopted as a CDM project activity.\textsuperscript{15} This was a significant step in garnering interest in CCS and sets out a detailed project life cycle for the inclusion of CCS as a CDM activity.\textsuperscript{16} As is explained below, this project life cycle and the accompanying requirements will be employed as a benchmark in this study for purposes of analysing the CCS-related suitability of South African law.

Decision 10/CMP.7 further sets out the requirements for CCS as a CDM activity.\textsuperscript{17} Annex A1(a) of Decision 10/CMP.7 defines CCS as "the capture and storage of carbon dioxide from anthropogenic sources of emissions, and the injection of the captured carbon dioxide into an underground geological storage site for long-term isolation from the atmosphere".\textsuperscript{18} A geological storage site consists of a permeable and porous formation into which CO\textsubscript{2} can be injected, covered by a layer of cap rock which is impermeable and has low porosity to prevent migration and leakage of the CO\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{19} Regarding GHG’s emissions, according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (hereafter IPCC)"the only technology available to mitigate GHG’s emissions from large-scale fossil fuel usage is CCS".\textsuperscript{20}

In addition, the International Energy Agency (hereafter IEA) Energy Technology Perspectives BLUE Map scenario, which assessed strategies for reducing

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{14} UNFCC http://cdm.unfccc.int/about/index.html.
\item \textsuperscript{15} UNFCC Conference of the Parties Serving as the Meeting of the Parties 2010 Decision 10/CMP.7 Modalities and procedures for carbon dioxide capture and storage in geological formations as clean development mechanism project activities (FCCC/KP/CMP/2011/10/Add.2) 10 (hereafter Decision 10/CMP.7).
\item \textsuperscript{16} Shackley and Dütschke 2012\textit{Energy and Environment} 209.
\item \textsuperscript{17} Decision 10/CMP.7 13.
\item \textsuperscript{18} Decision 10/CMP.7 14.
\item \textsuperscript{19} Benson and Cole \textit{CO2 Sequestration in Deep Sedimentary Formations} October 2008http://www.geo.arizona.edu/~reiners/geos195K/CO2Sequestration_Benson_ELEMENTS.pdf; Younger 2011 \textit{Mine Water and the Environment} 133.
\item \textsuperscript{20} IEA \textit{CCS} http://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/name,39359,en.html
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
greenhouse gas emissions by half in 2050, concluded that CCS will need to contribute nineteen per cent of the necessary emissions reductions in 2050 to achieve atmospheric stabilisation in the most cost-effective manner. The key technologies required to reduce CO₂ emissions globally are reflected in the IEA Blue Map Scenario as illustrated by Figure 1.

**Figure 1**  Key technologies for reducing global CO₂ emissions

According to the IEA, the development of practical incentive policies for the deployment of CCS is the critical issue in the short term. Methods for the deployment of CCS can be constructed by using policies for renewable energy as models.

---

21 IEA CCS 1
22 Remme IEA BLUE Map Scenario 16 November 2011 5.
23 Adopted from Remme U IEA BLUE Map Scenario 16 November 2011 3.
24 IEA CCS is a necessity for a world hooked on fossil fuels 1 January 2013.
25 IEA CCS is a necessity for a world hooked on fossil fuels 1 January 2013.
In Europe, guidance documents have been formulated to enable governments to implement CCS in a consistent fashion. This can provide guidance to South Africa in adopting an approach consistent with international norms and standards.

The implementation of CCS technology internationally has been gradual. A recent survey by the Global CCS Institute identified that there are currently eight large scale integrated CCS projects underway around the world. These focus on gas processing, synthetic fuels and fertiliser production which are technically less demanding and more cost effective than CCS in the power sector. The inclination of industry to use CCS where there may be ancillary benefits is illustrated for example in the use of CO₂ for enhanced oil recovery (hereafter EOR) at six out of the eight large scale CCS projects currently active.

Decision 10/CMP.7 adopted the modalities and procedures for CO₂ CCS in geological formations as a CDM project activity for the first time. As already indicated, the CDM allows projects for reducing emissions in developing countries to earn CER credits, which are each equivalent to one tonne of CO₂. This inclusion makes CCS available to developed countries as a mechanism to generate CERs to assist them in reaching their emissions reduction targets using low cost mechanisms. In principle, developing countries benefit from improved investment flows and from those investments being used for sustainable development objectives. For example, a CDM project for the installation of solar water heaters, ceiling insulation, and energy efficiency lighting has been put in place in Cape Town, benefitting residents and earning CER credits.

---


30 UNFCC Decision 10; Doelle and Lukaweski 2012 Climate Law 49.

31 UNFCC About CDM http://cdm.unfccc.int/about/index.html.


34 UNFCC CDM project co-benefits in Cape Town, South Africa http://cdm.unfccc.int/about/ccb/CDM_Cobenefits_Kuyasa_SouthAfrica.pdf.
CCS may be seen as a bridging technology in that it is not expected to lead to a reduction in renewable energy development or in the increase in the use of fossil fuels.\(^{35}\) This is reflected in Directive 2009/31/EC which states that CCS technology should not incentivise the proliferation of fossil fuelled power plants.\(^{36}\) It goes on to say that CCS "should not lead to a reduction of efforts to support energy saving policies, renewable energy and other safe and sustainable low carbon technologies, both in research and financial terms".\(^{37}\) This issue raises a number of ethical issues which some elements of civil society have taken up. For example, large scale implementation of CCS does not cure the world of its addiction to fossil fuels. On the contrary, CCS ostensibly permits fossil fuel business as usual, which might simply delay the problem of how to shift from a fossil fuel economy to a renewable economy.\(^{38}\) The danger is that the delay might mean that a future shift away from fossil fuel will be more costly than if this step were taken earlier. Ideally, CCS should be accompanied by renewable energy development.

It is necessary to determine whether a regulatory framework to manage risks and policies to enable technological investment is necessary for large scale deployment of CCS.\(^{39}\) This follows from the fact that essential factors which could encourage or inhibit CCS deployment include regulatory, legal and public policy considerations.\(^{40}\) It is necessary to explore whether a dedicated legal and regulatory regime is required to govern CCS in South Africa,\(^{41}\) and that a globally consistent, nationally coordinated system of governance and risk regulation for CCS needs to be developed by domestic law and policy makers.\(^{42}\)

Apart from the policy objectives and statements contained in the WPNCCR and other instruments mentioned below, it is necessary to examine whether South

---

35 Lang and Mutschler http://www.germanenergyblog.de/?page_id=3061.
36 Art 4 of Directive 2009/31/EC.
37 Art 4 of Directive 2009/31/EC.
38 Referred to as a perverse effect in Doelle and Lukaweski 2012 Climate Law 57.
Africa has climate change specific regulatory framework.\textsuperscript{43} If South Africa wishes to participate in CCS for CDM it may however have to establish a legal and regulatory framework that provides for CCS.\textsuperscript{44} This is supported by the fact that Decision 10/CMP.7 Annex F stipulates participation requirements for the hosting of CCS projects under CDM by developing countries (such as South Africa), which includes having laws to regulate CCS.\textsuperscript{45}

The Department of Environmental Affairs (hereafter DEA) is the lead agency in relation to the South African initiatives in response to climate change.\textsuperscript{46} The South African Department of Energy has begun with the planning and development of a CCS regulatory framework or policy to allow for a more streamlined approach to the regulation of these projects.\textsuperscript{47} In addition, the WPNCCR refers to CCS as a flagship programme in South Africa's efforts to combat climate change.\textsuperscript{48} The WPNCCR however also stipulates the necessity to "undertake an audit of existing policy and legislation to ensure alignment with the objectives of the National Climate Change Response Policy and promote the integration of climate change resilience into all sectoral planning instruments".\textsuperscript{49} This illustrates a desire to embed CCS into local laws and policy.

In light of the national ambitions and international requirements for CCS it seems useful to question the regulatory potential and compatibility of South Africa's existing legal framework in relation to CCS. It seems particularly necessary to look at the country's environmental law and policy framework and the extent to which it is suitable for the regulation of CCS. What is known at this early stage is that under the current environmental law framework in South Africa, each phase of a CCS project, namely capture, transport, injection and storage, is likely to require a suite of environmental legal authorisations from the various levels and

\textsuperscript{43} Cloete \textit{Atlas on geological storage} 17.
\textsuperscript{44} Decision 10/CMP.7 16.
\textsuperscript{45} Decision 10/CMP.7 16.
\textsuperscript{48} GN 757 in GG 34695of 20 October 2011.
\textsuperscript{49} GN 757 in GG 34695 of 20 October 2011 36.
line functionaries of government, thereby requiring effective cooperative governance.\textsuperscript{50}

The purpose of this research is to determine the extent to which the existing environmental law framework in South Africa adequately provides for the regulation of CCS. The question underpinning this analysis will be addressed by means of a desk-top study. Sources include local and international legal instruments, policy, case law, international decisions such as those of the UNFCC and writings of local and international authors. The study will begin with an initial overview of concepts, the elements of the project life cycle and impacts relating to CCS. This will be followed by an analysis of international requirements and benchmarks for the domestic regulation of CCS together with an analysis of the current situation relating to the readiness of South African environmental law and policy for CCS. This will be followed by recommendations for law and policy reform in South Africa.

2 Concepts, the project life cycle and impacts of CCS

2.1 Introduction

The nature of CO\textsubscript{2} and the sources of capture, transport and storage thereof are essential concepts in CCS. An analysis of these concepts relevant to the life cycle of a CCS project is necessary to form an understanding of the technical and scientific basis of CCS. The unpacking of key concepts is similarly important for understanding the nature and scope of the legal requirements during the life of a CCS project to be discussed hereafter.

2.2 Concepts relating to CCS

International environmental dynamics have been structured to a large degree by scientific progress.\textsuperscript{51} Newly emerging technologies such as carbon capture and

storage need to be understood to determine where they might fit into the portfolio of options for tackling climate change, generally.\textsuperscript{52} The meaning of the concepts outlined below are relevant to an investigation of the regulation of CCS.

\subsection*{2.2.1 CO\textsubscript{2}}

Unadulterated CO\textsubscript{2} is a clear, odourless, and non-flammable substance which can be transported as a solid, liquid, gas, or dense-phase liquid.\textsuperscript{53} In the form of a dense-phase liquid, CO\textsubscript{2} has the viscosity of a gas, but the density of a liquid.\textsuperscript{54}

\subsection*{2.2.2 CCS sources}

Emissions sources with high CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations are fossil fuel based industrial sources including power generation, cement production, refineries, and the iron, steel, and petrochemical industries.\textsuperscript{55}

\subsection*{2.2.3 CCS capture}

Capture of CO\textsubscript{2} is possible through pre-combustion capture, post-combustion capture or oxy fuel combustion systems.\textsuperscript{56} Capture is the most expensive step in CCS.\textsuperscript{57}

\subsubsection*{2.2.3.1 Pre-combustion capture}

In pre-combustion carbon capture, coal is gasified in a high-pressure, controlled-oxygen environment through the application of heat and steam.\textsuperscript{58} The resulting
gas consists primarily of hydrogen and carbon monoxide gases.\textsuperscript{59} By processing the CO in a water-gas-shift reactor, the addition of water produces CO\textsubscript{2} and additional hydrogen gases.\textsuperscript{60} The highly concentrated CO\textsubscript{2} can be separated and stored, while the hydrogen may be cleanly combusted or used in hydrogen fuel cells.\textsuperscript{61} Pre-combustion carbon capture technologies are extremely efficient compared to post-combustion flue gas because of the increased concentration of CO\textsubscript{2} in the pre-combustion gas. By using pre-combustion processes, CO\textsubscript{2} emissions may be reduced by between ninety per cent and ninety five per cent.\textsuperscript{62}

2.2.3.2 Post-combustion capture

In post-combustion capture, flue gases from a power station are cooled and passed through an absorbing solution containing ammonia or an amine that captures CO\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{63} The clean flue gases (with more than eighty per cent of the CO\textsubscript{2} having been removed) are released into the atmosphere.\textsuperscript{64} The CO\textsubscript{2} is then removed from the absorbing solution by steam, compressed and cooled to form a liquid, which can be stored underground.\textsuperscript{65}

2.2.4 Oxy-fuel combustion systems

The oxy-fuel combustion process involves burning fossil fuels in oxygen as opposed to air.\textsuperscript{66} The resulting combustion products will have CO\textsubscript{2} content up to about ninety per cent which produces a more concentrated CO\textsubscript{2} stream for easier purification prior to compression and transport to safe underground storage.\textsuperscript{67}

\textsuperscript{59} National Mining Association http://www.nma.org/ccs/carboncapture.asp.
\textsuperscript{60} National Mining Association http://www.nma.org/ccs/carboncapture.asp.
\textsuperscript{61} National Mining Association http://www.nma.org/ccs/carboncapture.asp.
\textsuperscript{62} National Mining Association http://www.nma.org/ccs/carboncapture.asp.
\textsuperscript{66} CCS Association http://www.ccsassociation.org/faqs/ccs-capture/.
2.2.5 Carbon transport

CO₂ may be transported, for the purpose of storage in a solid, liquid or gaseous state, with liquefaction being the preferred state since in this state the gas will occupy less volume in the transportation process.²⁸ Transportation by pipeline has been used previously for enhanced oil recovery and transport by ship is also viable.²⁹

2.2.6 Carbon sequestration

Carbon sequestration refers to CO₂ being injected into deep underground rock formations, often at depths of one kilometre or more, where the temperature and pressure keep the CO₂ in a dense fluid phase.⁷⁰ Potential storage methods include injection into underground geological formations, injection deep into the ocean, or industrial fixation in inorganic carbonates.⁷¹ The most likely form of storage is the injection and stabilisation of large volumes of CO₂ in the subsurface in saline aquifers, existing hydrocarbon reservoirs or unmineable coal-seams.⁷²

Figure 2 below illustrates the sources of CO₂ being coal mining, biomass sources, oil and gas extraction and refineries for steel and cement as well as chemical plants and electricity generation.⁷³ Transport for storage and the options of onshore and offshore storage are illustrated.⁷⁴ Now that the concepts relating to CCS have been clarified, the CCS project life cycle will be discussed with reference to a risk management framework.⁷⁵

---

²⁸ Metz et al IPCC SRCCS 22.
²⁹ Doelle and Lukaweski 2012 Climate Law 52.
³¹ Metz et al IPCC SRCCS 19.
³² Jepma and Hauck 2010 International Review of Environmental and Resource Economics 228; Baines and Worden Geological storage of carbon dioxide.
³⁵ EC 2011 Article 22 of Directive 2009/31/EC.
2.3 The CCS project life cycle

Directive 2009/31/EC\(^77\) provides for the geological storage of CO\(_2\) and is the world's first regulatory framework for CCS.\(^78\) The European Union has also developed a guidance document for a CO\(_2\) storage life cycle risk management framework pursuant to Directive 2009/31/EC.\(^79\) The guidance document sets out measures to mitigate risk caused by CCS to the environment and human health.\(^80\) The document is intended to facilitate the coherent and consistent

\(^{76}\) Examples of sources for which CCS technologies might be relevant, transport of CO\(_2\) and storage options adopted from EPA 2011 http://wwweea.europa.eu/publications/carbon-capture-and-storage.


\(^{78}\) Shackley and Dütschke 2012 Energy and Environment 211.


implementation of Directive 2009/31/EC.\textsuperscript{81} The purpose of the guidance document is to address the life cycle of geological CO\textsubscript{2} storage activities including the phases of storage, regulatory milestones and principal activities.\textsuperscript{82} A risk management framework is used to achieve the objectives of Directive 2009/31/EC thereby facilitating the interaction between competent authorities and the operators of specific sites.\textsuperscript{83} In this way risk management is used to ensure that risks to the environment and to human health are identified, mitigated and managed.\textsuperscript{84}

Studies of different national approaches to CCS can assist in the understanding and formulation of choices made by governments in practice.\textsuperscript{85} It is for this reason that the steps towards consistency and uniformity in the European approach could be instructive for the formulation of a project life cycle for CCS in developing countries such as South Africa. European and other approaches have been considered in relation to South African projects such as the submission in relation to the Khanyisa coal fired power station where CCS is contemplated and guidance is relied on from the United Kingdom, European Union regulation and the Global CCS Institute.\textsuperscript{86}

An individual storage project could have a life cycle of between fifty and seventy years prior to transfer of responsibility from the person operating the CCS project to a State.\textsuperscript{87} This entails the approval of transfer by the member state, the release of security to the operator and the state taking responsibility for the site. The CCS project life cycle typically comprises the following elements:
• determining the requirements for a storage site and exploration requirements, culminating in obtaining an exploration permit;
• exploring potential sites to find a site with the required characteristics in terms of capacity and geological structure;
• detailed characterisation and assessment of the potential storage complex leading to the award of a storage permit;
• site development including detailed engineering design of the storage scheme including baseline monitoring;
• commissioning of the project and commencement of injection;
• start of operations on the site;
• closure upon cessation of injection and authorisation for closure;
• post closure monitoring prior to transfer;
• transfer of liability; and
• post transfer monitoring.88

Following the project life cycle enables operators and authorities to gain security that their roles and responsibilities have been properly delineated in the CCS project.89

2.4 CCS-related impacts on the environment

A life cycle approach, integrated to take account of the interplay of the various individual elements with each other, is necessary to assess the potential environmental impacts that CCS may have so that emissions taking place some distance from the place of capture can be taken into consideration.90 This leads
to a consideration of the most significant potential impacts of CCS, namely seepage, the energy penalty, increased water demands and seismicity.

2.4.1 Seepage

The term "seepage" in relation to CCS means the movement of CO₂ from under the sea or land to the ocean or the atmosphere. The reason for considering seepage is the perceived risk to the deep underground storage of CO₂ caused by seepage from the place of storage with the attendant threats to the environment caused by the escape of CO₂ from storage.

2.4.2 Energy penalty

Another impact of CCS is that the capture of CO₂ requires energy, referred to as an "energy penalty" producing up to 40 per cent more CO₂ per unit of energy than would occur without CCS. This energy penalty must be taken into account in cost and the equation used to determine the tolerable amount of leakage for storage to be viable. Indirect emissions also arise from fuel preparation including the mining and transport of fuel, the treatment of solvent waste and solvent manufacture. Third order emissions are also to be taken into account, including the manufacturing of infrastructure relating to the CCS project.

2.4.3 Water

Increasing consumption of water will be necessitated by a CCS project, particularly in relation to projects such as coal fired power stations combined with CCS. The impact of the additional water use will need to be considered to ensure the availability of water for energy generation in the design phase of the

---

91 Par 1(g) of Appendix A to Decision 10/CMP.7.
92 Taylor 2012 http://www.globalccsinstitute.com/insights/authors/derektaylor/2012/03/27/co2-leakage-how-important-it.
93 Friedrich 2007 Journal of International Law 211.
94 Stone, Lowe and Shine 2009 Energy and Environmental Science 81.
project, not only for security of electricity supply, but also as a result of environmental concerns, where limiting access to water might damage ecosystems.\textsuperscript{98}

2.4.4 Seismicity

The issue of seismicity – earthquakes caused by CCS, has also been raised as a CCS related risk or impact.\textsuperscript{99} There has been recent debate over the allegation that earthquakes could be triggered by CCS, by analogy with seismicity occurring in sites where brine injection has taken place.\textsuperscript{100} This has been rebutted by the view that seismicity need not necessarily result in rock fracturing and allowing leakage, but that it is quite probable that the rock will bend.\textsuperscript{101} It has been held that “the weight of evidence suggests that CCS technology is viable and that a combination of storage options will provide capacity for large volumes of captured CO$_2$”.\textsuperscript{102} This furore emphasises the necessity for proper site selection, taking into account the risk of seismicity.

2.5 Concluding remarks

The discussion above has clarified the concepts of CO$_2$, sources for CCS and the three forms of capture being pre-combustion capture, post-combustion capture and oxy-fuel combustion systems. Technical aspects of capture and sequestration of CO$_2$ have been discussed. Risks and impacts are interconnected which makes an integrated approach to assessment invaluable. It is possible to conclude that the CCS process is based on sophisticated scientific concepts which require appreciation for consideration of a regulatory framework for CCS. An understanding of these technical and scientifically based aspects of CCS is

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{98} Naughten,Darton and Fung 2012 \textit{Energy and Environment} 266.
\item \textsuperscript{99} Zoback and Gorelick 2012 \textit{Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences}.
\item \textsuperscript{100} Zoback and Gorelick 2012 \textit{Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences}.
\item \textsuperscript{101} Hill 2012 http://www.catf.us/blogs/ahead/2012/06/20/seismic-risk-wont-threaten-the-viability-of-geologic-carbon-storage/.
\item \textsuperscript{102} Hill 2012 http://www.catf.us/blogs/ahead/2012/06/20/seismic-risk-wont-threaten-the-viability-of-geologic-carbon-storage/.
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further required for the discussion of the legal requirements for the CCS project life cycle discussed below.

3 The legal requirements accompanying the CCS project life cycle

3.1 Introduction

A legal and regulatory framework for CCS refers in a nutshell to laws and regulations in the context of which CCS can take place. A framework may be necessary in South Africa and elsewhere in the world for purposes of, inter alia, removing existing legal barriers and enabling environmentally safe CCS projects to be deployed.\(^{103}\)

Discussions towards the development of a CCS regulatory framework within a particular jurisdiction such as South Africa may benefit first of all from a review of existing laws and regulations and their applicability to CCS.\(^{104}\) This includes an analysis of:

- the extent to which existing laws and policies can be modified to accommodate the CCS project life cycle;
- whether existing regulatory frameworks present barriers to CCS (such as groundwater legislation preventing injection into areas containing groundwater);
- unintended consequences as a result of interaction with existing laws and regulations; and
- gaps in current laws which fail to address aspects of the CCS chain.\(^{105}\)

International commitments made by states such as treaties or protocols on environmental protection also have to be taken into account, such as the impact of international treaties relating to marine conservation on sub-sea beded CCS.\(^{106}\)


For purposes of the objective of this study, it is necessary to first discuss the South African legal framework under which CCS will take place. Thereafter, the project life cycle of CCS will be used to evaluate the CCS readiness of SA environmental law below.

According to section 2 of the *Constitution of the Republic of South Africa*, 1996 (hereafter the Constitution), the Constitution is the supreme law in the Republic, and legislation or actions inconsistent with it is invalid. In terms of section 24 of the Constitution everyone has the right to "an environment that is not harmful to their health or well-being", as well as to the intergenerational protection of the environment through reasonable legislative and other measures that prevent pollution and the environment being degraded, and ensuring development and use of natural resources that is ecologically sustainable while justifiable economic and social development is promoted. It is arguable that this section provides the relevant foundation for the development and implementation of legislation specific to CCS as the initiation of CCS projects *inter alia* provide an environmental benefit from the abatement of CO₂.

The *National Environmental Management Act* 107 of 1998 (hereafter NEMA) is the framework legislation for environmental law in South Africa. Section 28 of NEMA describes the consequences of pollution. It states that:

> Every person who causes, has caused or may cause significant pollution or degradation of the environment must take reasonable measures to prevent such pollution or degradation from occurring, continuing or recurring, or, in so far as such harm to the environment is authorised by law or cannot reasonably be avoided or stopped, to minimise and rectify such pollution or degradation of the environment.

---

107 S 24(a) of the Constitution.
108 S 24(b) of the Constitution.
110 Glazewski *Environmental law* 7-7.
It was originally held by the court in *Bareki v Gencor*\(^{111}\) that section 28 of NEMA was not retrospective. This was determined on the basis that the obligations imposed by section 28 created a strict liability and perhaps even an absolute liability.\(^{112}\) In light of this strict liability it was held that retrospectivity would be sufficiently unfair for the legislator not to have intended it.\(^{113}\) This section was then amended\(^{114}\) to apply retrospectively to situations where the pollution and apparent consequences thereof may be separated in time, as well as to changes in historical contamination.\(^{115}\) It is arguable that the application of section 28 of NEMA to a coal fired power station emitting CO\(_2\) with the availability of CCS at hand could lead to an obligation to use CCS to mitigate those emissions.

An area in which NEMA lends itself to the advancement of the implementation of CCS is in the concept of the "best practicable environmental option", which encourages the implementation of options which cause the least damage to the environment as a whole over the long and short term and at an acceptable cost.\(^{116}\) This supports the view that if CCS can be the best practicable option for the environment in certain circumstances, that CCS implementation should be considered by decision-makers in, *inter alia*, government.

Sustainable development is the objective of NEMA.\(^{117}\) Under section 2 of NEMA, sustainable development requires the consideration in environmental development by organs of state that may affect the environment significantly of a number of factors, including:

- The avoidance, or minimisation and remedy of damage to biodiversity and ecosystems;\(^{118}\)
- The avoidance, or minimisation and remedy of pollution and environmental degradation;\(^{119}\)

---

111 2006 2 All SA 392 (T).
112 *Bareki v Gencor* 2006 2 All SA 392 (T) 200.
113 *Bareki v Gencor* 2006 2 All SA 392 (T) 402.
114 Sub-s (1A) inserted by s 12(a) of Act 14 of 2009.
115 S 28(2) of NEMA.
116 S 1 of NEMA.
117 S 2(3) of NEMA.
118 S 2(4)(a)(i) of NEMA.
• The avoidance, or minimisation, recycling and responsible disposal of waste;\textsuperscript{120}
• The responsible and equitable use and exploitation of non-renewable natural resources;\textsuperscript{121}
• That the afore-mentioned use and exploitation do not jeopardise ecosystem integrity;\textsuperscript{122}
• The precautionary principle;\textsuperscript{123}
• The polluter pays principle;\textsuperscript{124} and
• The principles of intra- and inter-generational equality.\textsuperscript{125}

Applying the above principles, CCS could be regarded as a remedial step in relation to maintaining biodiversity and ecosystems, for example in areas where there is coal mining or gas extraction. It would avoid and minimise environmental degradation by removing CO\textsubscript{2} from the equation of electricity generation. It would promote the responsible exploitation of coal and gas reserves. It would also need to take place in such a way that it did not jeopardise ecosystem integrity. If there were problems which arose in relation to the capture of CO\textsubscript{2} there would have to be a determination of apportionment of liability between those responsible for the creation and storage thereof, and the State. CCS would further have to take place in such a way that it will not impose burdens on future generations or transgress any of the NEMA principles.

3.2 Project life cycle phases

There are a number of international sources which discuss elements or the whole of the project life cycle for CCS but it is submitted that the most comprehensive benchmark is contained in Decision 10/CMP.7 which sets out requirements for
CCS under the CDM.\textsuperscript{126} The requirements set out therein will be the benchmark for this evaluation of the environmental law framework applicable to CCS in South Africa.\textsuperscript{127} Using this method will mean emphasis on the elements contained in Decision 10/CMP.7 at the expense of a more comprehensive discussion on CCS readiness and CO\textsubscript{2} transport. The requirement under Decision 10/CMP.7 will be described followed by consideration of implementation thereof in South Africa. These international requirements have however not yet been incorporated into local legislation as required before an international agreement becomes law in South Africa.\textsuperscript{128} The essential elements of the CCS project life cycle will now be conceptually discussed, including elements of CCS that traverse the whole life cycle.

3.2.1 Selection and characterisation

For site selection and characterisation, what is required under CCS for CDM is that there must be no risk of seepage, no significant health or environmental risks and the site must comply with all of the laws and regulations of South Africa.\textsuperscript{129}

The consequence of seepage of CO\textsubscript{2} is that it presents risks to human health, including irreversible effects such as convulsions and coma, adverse effects such as headache, difficulty breathing and shortness of breath, increased blood pressure, tremors, and sweating.\textsuperscript{130} Seepage could affect water causing lower pH, dissolved solids and increased mobilisation of metals, thereby affecting the environment.\textsuperscript{131} Seepage also may lead to a net reversal of storage, negating the objective of storage.\textsuperscript{132}

\textsuperscript{126} Decision 10/CMP.7 13.
\textsuperscript{127} Decision 10/CMP.7 23.
\textsuperscript{128} S 231(4) of the Constitution.
\textsuperscript{129} Decision 10/CMP.7 13; Directive 2009/31/EC has been criticised for requiring absolutely no seepage, widely regarded as impossible, say Shackley and Dütschke 2012\textit{Energy and Environment} 211.
\textsuperscript{130} Trabucchi \textit{et al} Valuation of Potential Risks arising from a Model, Commercial Scale CCS Project Site 2-1 Industrial Economics Incorporated 2010.
\textsuperscript{131} Trabucchi \textit{et al} Valuation of Potential Risks arising from a Model, Commercial Scale CCS Project Site 2-1 Industrial Economics Incorporated 2010.
\textsuperscript{132} Decision 10/CMP.7 15.
The concept of seepage is used in the *Mineral and Petroleum Resource Development Regulations* where the rules relating to the management of residual stockpiles relating to mining contemplate that it may be necessary to determine the potential rate and quality of seepage of water from the stockpile.\textsuperscript{133} Under section 22(e) of the *National Water Act* 36 of 1998 (hereafter the NWA) seepage or runoff from water derived from a water source must be returned to that source. It would thus appear that seepage is a term currently used in South African law. This term has however not yet been contextualised in relation to CCS in South African law.

The second issue for consideration in site selection is that there must not be health or environmental risks associated with the selected site.\textsuperscript{134} An analysis of the geology of the site through geological characterisation is necessary to determine whether the site bears health or environmental risks.\textsuperscript{135} Internationally, protecting human health relates to occupational health and safety to prevent exposure of workers to the release of CO\textsubscript{2} as well as protecting human populations in the area of the site.\textsuperscript{136} Safety legislation in South Africa is contained within the *Occupational Health and Safety Act* (hereafter OHS Act). In the long title to the OHS Act the health and safety of persons at work and using plant and machinery or connected therewith are catered for. The OHS Act may be considered relevant because it sets out general principles relevant to work in an industrial environment, for example setting out provisions relating to the treatment of employees.\textsuperscript{137} Protection in relation to specific health risks linked to CCS is not embodied in current South African legislation.

The third aspect of site selection is an analysis of whether there are CCS related environmental risks. An example would be the risk of acidification of water

\textsuperscript{133} GNR 527 in GG No 26725 of 23 April 2004.  
\textsuperscript{134} EC Characterisation of the Storage Complex, CO2 Stream Composition, Monitoring and Corrective Measures EC 2011 3.  
\textsuperscript{137} S 8 of the OHS Act.
resulting from seepage.\textsuperscript{138} Determining whether this is dealt with under South African legislation must begin with an analysis of the scope of application of NEMA. The long title to NEMA states that it is applicable to “matters affecting the environment” and since CCS affects the environment\textsuperscript{139} it would fall within this ambit. NEMA sets out a procedure for obtaining environmental authorisations designed to ensure that activities which have an impact on the environment are conducted in a methodical fashion.\textsuperscript{140} In terms of NEMA, the definition of pollution includes substances emitted from any activity which has an adverse effect on the environment, human health or will have such an effect in future.\textsuperscript{141} By this definition, CO\textsubscript{2} emissions fall within the definition of pollution in terms of NEMA. As a consequence CCS activities would require authorisation to the extent that there was the possibility of CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from such activities.

A directly related requirement for site selection under Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 is that there must be compliance with all laws and regulations of the host party.\textsuperscript{142} This means that the activity must be lawful in the host country. This may presuppose that the host country has laws and regulations which set provisions for site selection, characterisation and development as is required in CCS for CDM.\textsuperscript{143}

It is required under Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 that the geological site must not be located in international waters.\textsuperscript{144} This avoids the necessity to resolve conflicts with international treaties. These treaties include, for example, the IAEA \textit{Protocol to the Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter},\textsuperscript{145} the \textit{International Atomic Energy Agency
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\textsuperscript{143} Decision 10/CMP.7 16.
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\textsuperscript{145} IEA 29 December 1972
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Risk Assessment and Management Framework,\textsuperscript{146} and the Council of the EU Decision concerning the approval on behalf of the EU, of the Amendments of Annex II and Annex III to the Convention for the protection of the marine environment of the North Atlantic (the Ospar Convention) in relation to the storage of CO2 streams in geological formations.\textsuperscript{147}

Since the geological site may not be situated in international waters, it is necessary to determine what constitutes internal waters under South African law. Section 2 of the \textit{Maritime Zones Act} 15 of 1994 stipulates baselines, which subject to certain smoothing effects are set at the tidal low water mark.\textsuperscript{148} According to section 1 of the \textit{Merchant Shipping Act} 57 of 1951, the term nautical mile means a distance of 1 852 metres. The boundary of twelve nautical miles of internal waters amounts to 22.2 kilometres. This would be a consideration in determining available areas for CCS such as is reflected on the map in the Atlas on Geological Storage of Carbon Dioxide in South Africa (hereafter AGSSA).\textsuperscript{149}

Parallels under South African legislation to site selection in CCS for CDM are also found in the \textit{National Environmental Management: Integrated Coastal Management Act} 24 of 2008 (hereafter NEM:ICMA). In terms of NEM:ICMA "waste" is a substance which is "surplus, unwanted, rejected, discarded, abandoned or disposed of".\textsuperscript{150} Several of the characteristics of CO$_2$ for CCS fall into this category since CO$_2$ may be considered to be surplus and unwanted and the process of storage could conceivably be considered to constitute disposal. The definition of "waste" in NEM:ICMA contains further requirements that the generator thereof has no further use of that substance to reprocess, consume or produce something, which accords with the principles of CCS in which there is no current intention to use CO$_2$ for such a purpose. The final hurdle for qualification
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as "waste" under the definition thereof in section 1 of NEM:ICMA is that there must be a possible detrimental effect on the environment from such deposition, which accords with the concerns around leakage in the case of CCS.

The definition of "dumping at sea" in section 1 of NEM:ICMA lists several alternative activities which can constitute "dumping at sea" including deliberate disposal of waste into the sea, and storage of waste or other material on the seabed or in the substrata below the sea bed. CCS contemplates storage in substrata below the sea bed which ties in with this definition.

Section 70(1)(e)(i) of NEM:ICMA prohibits dumping at sea of waste or other material without a dumping permit. Since CO$_2$ is inorganic and inert it falls within the list of items in section 71(3) in respect of which the Minister may grant a dumping permit. In terms of section 74(4)(b)(i) of NEM:ICMA the Minister cannot grant a permit for the dumping of that material if it is likely to cause irreversible or long-lasting effects which are not capable of satisfactory mitigation. The ability to mitigate the effects of CCS will have to be shown for a permit to be considered. Although an EIA is not required for a permit it is necessary under section 71(2)(c) of NEM:ICMA for the possible impact on the environment of the proposed activity to be considered before a permit may be issued. It appears from the above that it is theoretically possible for a permit to be issued under NEM:ICMA for CCS to take place at sea.

A further requirement in site selection and characterisation in CCS under CDM is that all available evidence indicates that storage will be permanent.$^{151}$ The necessity for permanent storage is integral to the mitigation objective of CCS.$^{152}$ Permanence is indicated by obtaining and analysing data and matching historical data with current data.$^{153}$ Internationally, there has been experience in permanent storage of CO$_2$ such as in the Sleipner West storage conducted by Statoil where

---
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$^{152}$ Discussed in Chapter 1.
$^{153}$ Decision 10/CMP.7 23.
more than one million tons of CO₂ has been stored annually since 1996.¹⁵⁴ Permanent underground storage has not yet been undertaken in South African legislation.

Characterisation of the storage site is also required as part of site selection and characterisation.¹⁵⁵ This involves steps which are specific to the geological formations under consideration to determine their viability as storage sites. The closest similar considerations under South African legislation includes references in mining to "geological plans, drawn to a legible scale, depicting geological features that could affect mining" contained in regulations to mining legislation.¹⁵⁶

Characterisation of the site involves assessing the structures which are known and inferred in the site to determine how they would influence the migration of the injected CO₂.¹⁵⁷ The term "site development" as used in the steps in characterisation under Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.⁷¹⁵⁸ is used in South African legislation. It is contained in section 37 of the Land Use Planning Ordinance (hereafter LUPO) 15 of 1985. This stipulates that the council of a responsible municipality must receive and evaluate a site development plan for approval.¹⁵⁹ Section 12 of the Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act 16 of 2013 (hereafter the SPLUM Act) provides that the national and provincial spheres of government as well as municipalities must develop coordinated frameworks for the development of land in South Africa. A CCS installation would need to form part of a uniform, effective and comprehensive spatial planning and land use management system in South Africa.¹⁶⁰ This illustrates that South African legislation is familiar with the concept of site development facilitating its acceptance as a concept in relation to CCS. Neither LUPO nor the SPLUM Act traverses the particular requirements of CCS.

¹⁵⁵ Decision 10/CMP.7 23.
¹⁵⁷ Decision 10/CMP.7 23.
¹⁵⁸ Decision 10/CMP.7 24.
¹⁵⁹ S 37(2) of the Land Use Planning Ordinance 15 of 1985.
¹⁶⁰ S3(1) of the Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act 16 of 2013.
Paragraph 5 of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 sets out specific data and information to be used for the process of characterisation.\textsuperscript{161} This concerns obtaining geological information relating to the rock and tectonics in the area where injection is contemplated.\textsuperscript{162} The practice of obtaining geological information is also acknowledged in South African environmental law. It is used to garner information in relation to disaster risk management\textsuperscript{163} and in relation to mining where the \textit{Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act} (hereafter MPRDA) refers to reconnaissance operations consisting of geological, geophysical and photogeological surveys including searches using remote sensing techniques to find minerals or petroleum.\textsuperscript{164}

Geophysical information includes information relating to the cap rock, faults, and regarding wells.\textsuperscript{165} Geophysical magnetotelluric methods comprising deep imaging of magnetic fields to determine structure of rock has been applied in the analysis of areas viable for CCS.\textsuperscript{166}

The necessity for obtaining geomechanical information\textsuperscript{167} is also found in other areas where excavation is occurring in South Africa, such as in tunnelling operations.\textsuperscript{168} Although this concept may be familiar in South African law, it has not been incorporated in legislation applicable to CCS.

Rights to store are necessary in relation to site selection. A common law principle which could be applicable to the rights of land ownership and CCS states "\textit{cuius est solum, eius estque ad caelum et ad inferos}" which means that the owner of land also owns that which is above the land and below it.\textsuperscript{169} If this maxim were to be applied independently to CCS it would mean that areas of migration of plumes

\begin{footnotes}
\footnote{161}{Decision 10/CMP.7 24.}
\footnote{162}{Decision 10/CMP.7 24.}
\footnote{163}{GN 654 in GG 23574 of 29 April 2005.}
\footnote{164}{Definition of "reconnaissance operation" in s 1 of the MPRDA.}
\footnote{165}{Decision 10/CMP.7 24; EC Characterisation of the Storage Complex, CO2 Stream Composition, Monitoring and Corrective Measures EC 2011 15.}
\footnote{166}{Khosa Quantifying South Africa's carbon storage potential using geophysics 1.}
\footnote{167}{Par 5(c) of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7.}
\footnote{168}{Compagnie Interafricaine de Travaux v South African Transport Services 1991 2 All SA 155 (A).}
\footnote{169}{Rocher v Registrar of Deeds 1911 TPD 311.}
\end{footnotes}
of gas in terrestrial sequestration would have to match land ownership in the land above such areas. Departure from this rule would be required as is the case in mining discussed below.

The common law principle of accession can also be considered in relation to CCS. In terms of this principle the owner of land might become the owner of CO₂ stored on the land, particularly if the CO₂ becomes mineralised and bonds with the rock which is owned by the landowner under the *cuius est solum* principle.¹⁷⁰ In South Africa this maxim has been affected by the MPRDA. Section 90 of the MPRDA effectively places mineral and petroleum resources under the control of the state, and effectively limits the rights of the landowner to the areas beneath their land. In terms of section 4(2) of the MPRDA, that act overrides the common law to the extent of a conflict. If CCS were to be terrestrially based, these rules under the MPRDA might be extended to apply to CCS.

The maxim, "*sic utere tuo ut alienum non laedas*" states that an owner of land must use and enjoy his land in such a way that his neighbour is not prejudiced.¹⁷¹ These principles would apply in the context of CCS storage on land. They would preclude an owner of land from allowing disturbance of a neighbour's land as a consequence of their use of land.¹⁷² The environmental clause as set out in section 25 of the Constitution extends the private common law neighbour law doctrine, expressed in the *sic utere tuo* maxim, into the public law realm.¹⁷³ A notional application of the maxim in relation to CCS can be envisaged if, for instance an owner of land experiences an outflow of CO₂ on their land as a result of a CCS project conducted by a neighbour, they would conceivably have grounds for action in terms of the law of neighbours.

---

¹⁷⁰ Glazewski, Gilder and Swanepoel *Carbon Capture and Storage* 22.
¹⁷² *Herschel v Mrupe* 1954 3 SA 489 (A).
¹⁷³ Glazewski *Environmental law* 652.
3.2.2 Risk and safety assessment

The risk and safety assessment is the second element in the project life cycle in CCS for CDM.\textsuperscript{174} Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 paragraphs 6 to 9 set out what is required in relation to the assessment of risk and safety for CCS project activities.\textsuperscript{175} Paragraph 6 of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 states that a comprehensive risk and safety assessment is needed to determine the integrity of the storage site and its impact on the environment and on people in the proposed storage area.\textsuperscript{176} Corresponding South African legislation in relation to risk assessments is contained in the OHS Act. It is clear that this legislation is tailored to the specific circumstances contemplated by the OHS Act, which in section 7 deals with risk assessments by contractors working on specific sites. It deals with identification, analysis, documentation and review of risks which may seem sufficiently general to be extrapolated to CCS.\textsuperscript{177} However when compared with the particularity contained in sections 7 and 8 of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7, these factors are too vague to be of application to CCS. Those sections deal with particularities such as specific risks associated with containment failure and seepage.\textsuperscript{178}

The contamination of underground sources of drinking water is a factor to be taken into consideration in the risk and safety assessment.\textsuperscript{179} Under the Constitution everyone has a right to access to sufficient water.\textsuperscript{180} In terms of the preamble to the NWA water is a scarce resource deserving of protection. The desire for protection of water resources resonates with local legislation. A water use licence for which an EIA is required may conceivably be necessary for under section 40 of the NWA for CCS.

\textsuperscript{174} Decision 10/CMP.7 25.
\textsuperscript{175} Decision 10/CMP.7 25-26.
\textsuperscript{176} Decision 10/CMP.7 25; EC Characterisation of the Storage Complex, CO2 Stream Composition, Monitoring and Corrective Measures EC2011 33.
\textsuperscript{177} S 7(1) of the OHSAct.
\textsuperscript{178} Decision 10/CMP.7 24.
\textsuperscript{179} Decision 10/CMP.7 24.
\textsuperscript{180} S 27(1)(b) of the Constitution.
The scope of the risk and safety assessment set out in paragraph 8 of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7\textsuperscript{181} is unique to CCS and encapsulates the following:

- Coverage of the entire CCS chain and the environment around it;
- Assurance that safe containment will take place during operations;
- Determination of the appropriate data for the purposes of the site development and management plans including appropriate pressure of injection to prevent leakage;\textsuperscript{182}
- Consideration of consequences for the environment and public and effects on the global climate of the project;
- Determining where and how monitoring should take place;
- Plan for remediation of the site including addressing possible seepage; and
- Communication planning.

Steps to be taken in the risk and safety assessment in CCS for CDM are set out in paragraph 9 of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7.\textsuperscript{183}

The first step is hazard characterisation under paragraph 9(a) of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7.\textsuperscript{184} This is a concept not found in South African legislation.

Exposure assessment is the second step in risk assessment under paragraph 9(b) of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 and explains that the exposure assessment assesses the consequence of migration of CO\textsubscript{2} from storage on surrounding populations and ecosystems.\textsuperscript{185} Similar provisions can be found under the \textit{National Environmental Management: Waste Act} 59 of 2008 (hereafter NEM:WA). In terms of draft norms and standards for rehabilitation of

\textsuperscript{181} Decision 10/CMP.7 25; Younger 2011 \textit{Mine Water and the Environment} 127-140.
\textsuperscript{182} EC Characterisation of the Storage Complex, CO\textsubscript{2} Stream Composition, Monitoring and Corrective Measures EC 2011.
\textsuperscript{183} Decision 10/CMP.7 25.
\textsuperscript{184} Decision 10/CMP.7 25; EC Characterisation of the Storage Complex, CO\textsubscript{2} Stream Composition, Monitoring and Corrective Measures EC 2011 47.
\textsuperscript{185} Decision 10/CMP.7 25; EC Characterisation of the Storage Complex, CO\textsubscript{2} Stream Composition, Monitoring and Corrective Measures EC 2011 47.
contaminated waste sites, action must be taken to avoid the migration of harmful
compounds and storage must be undertaken in such a way that potential
migration of such harmful compounds does not occur.\textsuperscript{186} These considerations
are similar to those taken into account in the exposure assessment for CCS
internationally.\textsuperscript{187} Regulations under NEM:AQA may be used to measure certain
chemicals as is required for CCS\textsuperscript{188} but do not currently extend to the
measurement of CO\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{189} CO\textsubscript{2} may be able to be categorised as "hazardous
waste" under NEM:WA\textsuperscript{190} in which case it could be regulated therein. CCS may
be viable for inclusion in listed activities under NEM:WA.

The third step in risk assessment under paragraph 9(c) of Appendix B to Decision
10/CMP.7\textsuperscript{191} is the effects assessment where the consequences of leakage from
geological storage sites are examined, including the effects thereof on species,
communities, habitats and processes.\textsuperscript{192} Under NEM:ICMA when dealing with
dumping at sea an assessment is undertaken of the effects of different potential
disposal options, leading to an "Impact Hypothesis" which provides a basis for
acceptance or rejection of the proposed disposal option and the definition of
environmental monitoring requirements.\textsuperscript{193} Similarly to the consideration of the
effects assessment under international guidelines for sequestration, it is part of a
decision of whether to allow the disposal to take place.\textsuperscript{194} Under NEM:ICMA it is
considered necessary to "assess the potential effects on the environment, human
health, living resources, amenities and other legitimate uses of the sea".\textsuperscript{195} Such
assessment "must define the nature, temporal and spatial scales and duration of
expected impacts based on reasonably conservative assumptions".\textsuperscript{196} The
assessment must take into account "human health risks, environmental costs,
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hazards, (including accidents), economics and exclusion of future uses", and
options may be rejected if insufficient information is available or if the option of permitting such dumping at sea is shown through the comparative assessment to be less preferable.\textsuperscript{197} It is not clear whether this option is required to be less preferable to dumping at that particular location, on land or at all and may thus be considered somewhat vague. In any event, the above illustrates South African law's growing acquaintance with effects assessments.

Risk characterisation is the fourth step in risk assessment under paragraph 9(d) of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 and consists of the assessment of the site remaining safe and intact during the period of storage as envisaged in the plan for development and management of the site.\textsuperscript{198} This process is also referred to in the \textit{Invitation for public comments on the Technical Background Document for the Development of a National Ambient Air Quality Standard for Sulphur Dioxide}.\textsuperscript{199} According to this notice risk characterisation involves an integrated examination of hazard assessment, dose response (toxicological) assessment and risk assessment to determine ambient air quality.\textsuperscript{200} This is the only legislative document in which this phrase appears which means that it is not a widely used term in South African law. Furthermore, due to the particularity of the application of such assessments to their subject matter, it may be necessary for a CCS specific standard for risk characterisation to be established in South African law.

\subsection*{3.2.3 Monitoring}

Monitoring is the fourth element in the project life cycle in CCS for CDM.\textsuperscript{201} Under paragraph 10 of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 monitoring is to take place to assure the safety and integrity of the site, confirm containment and ensure predictable movement of CO$_2$, and ensure that site management follows the site
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development and management plans. 202 In addition the rate and volume of seepage and remedial measures applied thereto are considered, as well as the reductions in anthropogenic emissions as a result of the activity. 203

The closest South African legislative provisions are found under the National Nuclear Regulator Act 47 of 1999, where an application is needed for the siting of a nuclear installation to have a site safety report. 204 The content of the site safety report is set out in the Regulations on the Licensing of Sites for New Nuclear Installations. 205 This regulation sets out the prescribed contents of the safety report which include reasons for the choice of location of the site to ensure limited effect on human population, technologies to be used on the site including safety features thereof, an assessment of hazards, a probabilistic risk assessment, an analysis of the effect of the site on the public and demonstration of a viable emergency plan. 206 These factors echo paragraph 6 of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 which indicates that similar factors are taken into account locally in relation to nuclear installations and under CCS for CDM. 207 Clearly issues such as the rate and volume of seepage and remedial measures in relation to those are specific to CCS are not to be found South African legislation. Specific legislation would thus be required domestically.

Further in relation to the monitoring requirement, according to paragraph 11 of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7, the requirements for a monitoring plan set out in the annex to decision 3/CMP.1, Modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism as defined in Article 12 of the Kyoto Protocol, 208 should be taken into account in CCS for CDM. The Annex to decision 3/CMP.1 sets out the rules according to which CDM activities are undertaken, which rules apply to CDM project activities in general. 209 The monitoring plan therein envisages data

---
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collection relating to emissions by sources of greenhouse gases which are attributable to the project activity and the conducting of an environmental impact assessment (hereafter EIA). South Africa is familiar with such CDM projects, having 67 registered CDM projects as at July 2013. Such an EIA is currently used in relation to CCS for CDM in such projects in South Africa. For example, in the project design document form it is necessary to state the environmental impacts of the CDM project.

EIAs are dealt with in regulations promulgated under NEMA. These regulations would have to be analysed in the context of each project. Since sequestration is akin to storage, regard may be had to Listed Activity 3 under NEMA which deals with the "construction of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of more than 500 cubic metres". In analysing whether this activity is applicable reference may be made to SANS 10234: 2007 in the definition of "dangerous goods". SANS 10234: 2007 does not list CO₂ as a "dangerous good". Consequently the treatment of dangerous goods under several of the listed activities excludes carbon dioxide. Neither is CO₂ specifically regulated under the regulations under section 24 of NEMA. Amendment of listed activities or insertion of listed activities specific to CCS would be required for these regulations to better deal with CCS. NEM:AQA does not regulate this either.

Also in relation to the monitoring requirement, paragraph 11(a) of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 requires that the monitoring plan reflect codes of good practice for the monitoring of geological storage sites set out in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (hereafter "IPCC Guidelines"). The purpose of the guidelines is to provide uniform and
consistent methods which countries could use to estimate their greenhouse gas inventories for reporting to the UNFCCC.217

The method of monitoring geological storage sites is set out in Volume 2 to the IPCC Guidelines which deals with energy. The requirement to determine whether a site has a suitable monitoring plan is set out in paragraph 5.7.1.4 of Volume 2 to the IPCC Guidelines.218 This encompasses monitoring the volume and rate of injection of CO₂, the background emissions not relevant to storage and leaks resulting from storage after site closure.219 Potential monitoring technologies in relation to CO₂ storage are contained in Annex 5.1 to Volume 2 to the IPCC Guidelines and include various monitoring techniques using light and sound.220 A pragmatic approach is taken in that the method or methods chosen should be determined on the basis of obtaining the best results.221 Monitoring of corrosion and degradation of transport facilities and the assessment of remedial measures in cases of seepage are highlighted in paragraph 11(i) of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 as an important element in monitoring.222

South Africa has a statute dedicated to the science of geology in the Geoscience Act 100 of 1993. The objective of geoscience in South Africa is the exploitation of minerals, research advice and services in the field of geoscience.223 Since geoscience is involved in the fields of geochemistry (the composition of rocks, soils and water), geochronology (determining the age of rocks, fossils and sediment), geohazards (determining the likelihood of harm from geological conditions) and geotechnical investigations using geotechnical information,224 this area of expertise could be used when monitoring geological storage sites.225 Storage and monitoring for CCS have not yet taken place in South Africa.

---
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The codes of good practice required under CCS for CDM\textsuperscript{226} have not been incorporated into South African law either. If they were to be so incorporated, the requirements for monitoring and available techniques are sufficiently measurable to be contained in legislation. It may be feasible for suggestions from the operator to be taken into consideration in monitoring.\textsuperscript{227} Legislative requirements to publish monitoring activities may also be considered.\textsuperscript{228}

Monitoring in relation to CCS also contains requirements particular to the inherent nature of the activity of storing CO\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{229} These include the detection of CO\textsubscript{2} stored, possible seepage and the rate thereof.\textsuperscript{230} This will require regulation in terms of dedicated domestic legislation forming part of NEMA or specific CCS regulation as it is essential that these variables are measured in CCS projects to determine safe storage.

Regarding monitoring in South Africa, in relation to the requirement for an EIA, the MPRDA stipulates that every person who has applied for a mining right must conduct an EIA and submit an environmental management programme.\textsuperscript{231} The programme must set out baseline information about the affected environment to determine remedial measures, protection and environmental management objectives.\textsuperscript{232} The programme must detail the environmental impact, the socio-economic impact on affected persons and the impact of the project on heritage resources.\textsuperscript{233} The programme must also describe steps which can be taken to "modify, remedy, control or stop any action, activity or process which causes pollution or environmental degradation, contain or remedy the cause of pollution or degradation and migration of pollutants and comply with any prescribed waste
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standard or management standards or practices”. The applicant must also be able to demonstrate that it has done so or has access to the capacity to rehabilitate and manage negative impacts on the environment. Joint and several liability is imposed on directors of a juristic person in the event of unacceptable negative impact on the environment including inadvertent damage, degradation or pollution of the environment. This is similar to what is required under CCS for CDM.

Monitoring of corrosion and degradation of transport facilities and the assessment of remedial measures in cases of seepage are highlighted in paragraph 11(i) of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 as an important element in monitoring. The purpose of this monitoring is to ensure that possible seepage during transport is detected and addressed. Corrosion by dry supercritical CO₂ of steel is low. There is no provision in the Petroleum Pipelines Act 60 of 2003, the MPRDA or in the National Energy Regulator Act 40 of 2004 relating to corrosion of pipelines. In terms of Listed Activity 9 under NEMA an EIA is required for constructing “facilities or infrastructure for the bulk transportation of sewage and water, including storm water, in pipelines with an internal diameter of 0,36 metres or more or a peak throughput of 120 litres per second or more”. Impacts in this instance may include seepage from damaged pipes. It is submitted that the listings requirements could be extended to cover leakage of CO₂ from CCS transport facilities.

Paragraph 12 to Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 requires the process of history matching and the updating of numerical models used in characterisation by conducting fresh simulations to determine safe storage of CO₂. History matching is valuable in assessing efficiency of CO₂ storage and the likelihood of
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migration of CO$_2$.\textsuperscript{242} This term is not present in South African legislation. It is debatable whether this degree of detail, even for CCS, is required in legislation. History matching is currently used in the oil industries and in relation to reservoirs even though it is not currently contained in South African legislation.\textsuperscript{243}

Updating of the assessments, boundaries and plans will be necessary if history matching shows significant deviation from original data or in the case of renewal of a crediting period is required under paragraph 13 to Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7. The purpose thereof is to ensure that recalibration takes place as required. Similar provisions are found under section 34 of the \textit{Disaster Management Act} 57 of 2002. Updating of disaster management plans is required in a process of measuring performance and evaluating plans in relation to disaster risk management.\textsuperscript{244} While this act reflects the adoption of concepts relating to risk management and the updating of plans in that regard, there is still an absence of CCS specific legislation.

The frequency of monitoring in the case of CCS for CDM is stipulated in paragraph 16 of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 where monitoring must take place sufficiently prior to injection for a baseline to be established, must be done at regular intervals and must only terminate if no seepage is observed for ten years or for at least twenty years from the end of the last crediting period.\textsuperscript{245} The purpose hereof is to have sites monitored until it is evident that seepage is unlikely. Under South African legislation, in terms of section 62 of the \textit{Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Regulations}, the application for closure of a mine must set out the monitoring which is intended to take place upon closure including the steps which have taken place for the purpose of decommissioning the area used.\textsuperscript{246} This legislation illustrates that South African law is familiar with the concept of monitoring upon closure of facilities. It is, however, not entirely
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appropriate to CCS where monitoring is necessary to detect the threat of seepage with its attendant environmental consequences.

3.2.4 *Financial provision*

The requirement of financial provision does not fall into a specific CCS phase but is covered in all phases of a CCS project. Financial provision is intended to provide for legal and regulatory obligations of project participants during the establishment, operation and up to transfer of liability.\(^{247}\) Financial provision must meet legal obligations arising from the project activity, allow for the storage site to be safely maintained under applicable law, address the risk of project participant insolvency, be able to compensate communities in the event of seepage, enable liability transfer, and cover costs of approvals to transfer liability to authorities.\(^{248}\)

A search for similar concepts in South African law leads one to mining. Under the MPRDA, financial provision is "the insurance, bank guarantee, trust fund or cash that applicants for or holders of a right or permit must provide" to guarantee performance of the necessary work programmes and rehabilitation.\(^{249}\) The MPRDA comprises reference to financial provision in relation to closure where operations on a mine must be terminated in terms of a closure certificate.\(^{250}\) The closure certificate is contemplated to be issued after execution of the closure plan.\(^{251}\) The closure plan must set out what long-term maintenance and management might be expected as well as cost of closure and financial provision for monitoring, and management after closure.\(^{252}\) The holder of a permit must rehabilitate the environment affected by the operations "as far as it is reasonably practicable", to a land use consistent with sustainable development or to its natural state.\(^{253}\) In terms of the MPRDA, the applicant for a prospecting or mining right or mining permit must make financial provision for remediation of
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environmental damage, which is a suspensive condition to the granting of the authorisation.\textsuperscript{254}

If environmental damage such as degradation or pollution necessitating urgent remedial measures occurs, the Minister of Minerals and Energy can direct the holder of a right to investigate and report on the event and take remedial measures by a specified time.\textsuperscript{255} If the applicant fails to remediate such environmental damage, the Minister can apply that financial provision to do the remediation.\textsuperscript{256} Alternatively the Minister can recover the costs thereof from the holder of the right.\textsuperscript{257} The applicant must review and assess the financial provision annually, or if the Minister is not satisfied with it, has to top it up as needed.\textsuperscript{258} A portion thereof may be retained by the Minister for post-closure rehabilitation.\textsuperscript{259}

In mining the holder is liable inside or outside the boundary of operations for ecological degradation, environmental damage or pollution.\textsuperscript{260} CCS for CDM takes a different approach with the same result where the project boundary encompasses the extent of the CO\textsubscript{2} plume over the closure and post-closure phase.\textsuperscript{261} So in both cases the whole area susceptible to pollution is included. It is submitted that these similarities may be used as a touchstone for the development of a regulatory framework for CCS in South Africa.

There is provision under section 24P of NEMA for financial provision for management, closure and rehabilitation in an area where prospecting, mining, exploration or production is undertaken.\textsuperscript{262} This is similar to the requirement for the cost of on-going monitoring under CCS for CDM where legal costs of
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remediation, the cost of monitoring, verification and certification as well as the cost of addressing seepage must be provided for.\textsuperscript{263}

Section 24P(2) of the MPRDA gives authorities the ability to use the holder's security to effect rehabilitation where the holder cannot or will not attend to the rehabilitation or management of the environmental impact. It is considered that this provision could be extended to apply to CCS in South Africa as it would be a logical extension of mining legislation.\textsuperscript{264}

According to NEMA the applicant must annually assess and adjust their financial provision.\textsuperscript{265} The Minister of Water and Environmental Affairs may appoint an assessor if dissatisfied with the degree of financial provision.\textsuperscript{266} This does not have a corresponding provision in CCS for CDM but the provision in NEMA may also be extended on a similar basis as described in the previous paragraph.

The \textit{Insolvency Act} 24 of 1936 does not apply to financial provision required in terms of section 24P.\textsuperscript{267} This is similar to the requirement in paragraph 18(c) of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 which stipulates that the financial provision must address the risk of project participant insolvency.\textsuperscript{268} According to paragraph 20 of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 details of the financial provision must be contained in the project design document.\textsuperscript{269} It is submitted that these requirements are sufficiently similar to CCS for CDM for the harmonisation of local and international provisions in relation to the risk of insolvency. It is contemplated in section 24P(7) of NEMA that the financial provisions can be extended to other areas. This admits the possibility of the extension of these provisions to CCS.

\textsuperscript{263} According to the definition of the project boundary under Decision 10/CMP.7 18.
\textsuperscript{264} Decision 10/CMP.7 29.
\textsuperscript{265} S24P(3) of NEMA.
\textsuperscript{266} S 24P(4) of NEMA.
\textsuperscript{267} S 24P(6) of NEMA.
\textsuperscript{268} Decision 10/CMP.7 29.
\textsuperscript{269} Decision 10/CMP.7 29.
Where there are requirements under NEMA and under the MPRDA the question arises as to determination of the competent authority. As laid out by the South African Constitutional Court, when listing or specifying activities in terms of section 24(2) of NEMA the Minister of the DEA, or a MEC with the concurrence of the Minister, must identify the competent authority responsible for granting environmental authorisations in respect of those activities. Section 24C of NEMA prescribes that the Minister for Mineral Resources be identified as the competent authority where an activity constitutes mining or a related activity occurring within mining. Consequently it will have to be decided in each case whether the CCS activities are coupled with a mining activity. This determination will identify the competent authority. There is also provision in section 24O of NEMA for consultation between state authorities, which means that all Ministries involved will be able to make a contribution, irrespective of which one constitutes the designated competent authority.

3.2.5 Liability

The issue of liability relates to how obligations arising from a CCS project will be allocated. This is particularly important where liability changes, with the project participant being liable up to a point, followed by a transfer of liability to the State. Paragraph 22 of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 prescribes that liability for seepage during the phases of the project must be allocated by the project participant during the operational, closure and post-closure phases of the project until transfer. Under CCS for CDM, liability remains with the project participant during the operational phase. Liability transfers to the host party on termination of monitoring and compliance with the original letter of approval issued by the host party and with the laws and regulations of the host party.

270 Maccsand v City of Cape Town (Chamber of Mines of South Africa and Another as Amici Curiae) 2012 7 BCLR 690 (CC) 695.
271 S 24(1) of NEMA.
272 Decision 10/CMP.7 30.
273 Decision 10/CMP.7 29-30.
274 Decision 10/CMP.7 30.
275 Decision 10/CMP.7 29-30.
276 Decision 10/CMP.7 29.
The provision of South African legislation regarding mining activities is comparable to those under CCS for CDM in relation to liability. The MPRDA states that the person conducting mining activities retains environmental liability for pollution or ecological degradation, and the management thereof, up to the issue of the closure certificate. This means that after the issue of the closure certificate liability of the miner would cease. Under the MPRDA the Minister of Minerals and Energy retains that portion of the financial provision which he considers necessary for rehabilitation of latent or residual environmental impacts. There are thus similarities between the transfer of liability for CCS and in relation to mining, despite no specific treatment of transfer of liability for CCS under South African law.

3.2.6 Environmental and socio-economic impact assessments

Environmental and socio-economic impact assessments are assessments of air emissions, solid waste generation and water use associated with a particular CCS project. The objective of these assessments is to ensure excellent protection of the environment and potentially affected communities. These include embodiment of assessments in legislation including the necessity to obtain and consider comments from local communities in the validation of a project activity.

Regarding air emissions, Activity 2 under Listing Notice 1 promulgated under NEMA refers to the facilities or infrastructure constructed for the storage of coal or ore for which the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act 39 of 2004 (hereafter NEM:AQA) requires an atmospheric emissions licence. This requires a discussion of what NEM:AQA provides in this regard.
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The object of NEM:AQA is to protect the environment by protecting the quality of air, protecting air against pollution and environmental degradation and balancing the need for ecologically sustainable development against justifiable economic and social development.\(^{282}\) Section 21 of the Act provides for listing of activities which result in atmospheric emissions affecting the environment and health. These are listed in the Regulations.\(^{283}\) They are categorised into standards for various industries including for combustion installations, activities of the petroleum industry, carbonisation and coal gasification, the metallurgical industry as well as mineral processing, storage and handling.\(^{284}\) The emissions measured are particulate matter, oxides of nitrogen, sulphur dioxide, volatile petrochemical organic compounds, carbon monoxide, hydrogen sulphide and fluoride, dioxins, heavy metals and chemicals such as ammonia.\(^{285}\) These measurements would be applicable to CCS where it is necessary to determine the levels of similar chemicals to those listed above, which might be incidentally present in the CO\(_2\) stream.\(^{286}\) An atmospheric emissions licence may be required under NEM:AQA for the facility to which the CCS process was attached if the chemicals in the Regulation were required to be measured. A licence may be required for the CCS process itself should this be categorised as disposal of general waste and should those regulations be amended to include CO\(_2\) measurement, which is not currently the case.\(^{287}\) This means that an air emissions licence would not currently be required for CCS under the listing notice.\(^{288}\) According to section 21(1)(b) of NEM:AQA this list may be amended by adding items to or removing them from the list which could facilitate the inclusion of CO\(_2\).

The definition of "waste" in section 1 of NEM:WA includes matter "that is surplus, unwanted, rejected, discarded, abandoned or disposed of", or is surplus to production or requires treatment or disposal or is identified as such by the Minister of the DEA. This would appear to include CO\(_2\) since it is surplus to
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activities and arguably being disposed of. The definition of "waste" in section 1 of NEM:WA does exclude a portion of waste which is recycled or recovered, and it may be that the process of extracting CO₂ from the relevant installation constitutes recovery. This uncertainty illustrates that clarification is needed regarding the classification of CO₂. If CO₂ is waste then by its nature as an inorganic substance with characteristics which has an impact on health or the environment it would fall within the definition of "hazardous waste" also defined in section 1 of NEM:WA. The general duties regarding waste in section 16 of NEM:WA include the disposal thereof in an environmentally sound manner. This is consistent with the objective of CCS to which is to permanently store CO₂ in an environmentally sound manner.

Section 19 of NEM:WA stipulates that the Minister of DEA may publish a list of activities that have or may have a detrimental effect on the environment. The List of waste management activities which have or are likely to have a detrimental effect on the environment,²⁸⁹ is provided under section 19(1) read with section 73 of NEM:WA. These include categories of waste and the treatment thereof, requiring either a basic assessment or scoping and EIR.²⁹⁰ None of the activities specified appear to be applicable to CCS. There are draft regulations replacing these regulations, not yet in force.²⁹¹ One of these regulations provides for the storage of over one hundred cubic metres of general or eighty cubic metres of hazardous waste, the storage of which requires compliance with requirements laid down by the Minister.²⁹² Given the volume of storage required for CCS, measured in millions of tons, this regulation would be applicable to CCS activities.²⁹³

The third requirement under CCS for CDM is for comprehensive socio-economic and EIA's on the effect of CCS on water use.²⁹⁴ The NWA contains provisions for environmental impact assessments relating to water, in particular situations such

²⁸⁹ GN 718 in GG 35718 of 3 July 2009.
²⁹⁰ GN 718 in GG 35718 of 3 July 2009.
²⁹¹ GN 779 in GG 35718 of 28 September 2012.
²⁹² Reg 5 of GN 779 in GG 35718 of 28 September 2012.
²⁹³ Cloete Atlas on geological storage 48.
²⁹⁴ GNR 545 in GG 33306 of 18 June 2010.
as for the storage or transport of water.\textsuperscript{295} The impact of CCS activities on water, however, will be taken into account as part of the examination of the effect of those activities on the environment in the EIA as part of the implementation of the principle of integrated environmental management.\textsuperscript{296} Permissible water uses\textsuperscript{297} will also have to be considered from a compliance perspective in the case of CCS.

It is required in CCS for CDM that comments from local stakeholders be considered.\textsuperscript{298} This is essentially a requirement for public participation. It is clear from international guidance that public participation is essential to having a CCS project come to fruition with the understanding and acceptance of the public.\textsuperscript{299} Public participation is found in a South African context and is a process where potential interested and affected parties are given an opportunity to comment on or raise relevant issues in relation to the assessment of the environmental impact of an environmental authorisation application.\textsuperscript{300} Public participation is also required in terms of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000. Chapter 4 of this act provides for the involvement of communities in decisions and could apply to CCS projects in cases where municipal authorisations are required. In terms of section 152(e) of the Constitution the objectives of local government include involving communities and community organisations in their activities. There is specific mention of this obligation on municipalities, where this is financially possible, in section 152(2) of the Constitution. This means that where CCS activities are to be considered by a municipality, such as in relation to zoning, community involvement is required.

One of the objectives of integrated environmental management is to ensure adequate and appropriate opportunity for public participation in decisions that

\textsuperscript{295} Listing activities 9 and 11 in GNR 544 in GG 33306 of 18 June 2010.
\textsuperscript{296} S 2 of NEMA.
\textsuperscript{297} S 22 of the NWA.
\textsuperscript{298} Decision 10/CMP.7 30.
\textsuperscript{300} Definition of “public participation” in s1 of NEMA.
may affect the environment.\textsuperscript{301} This is set out comprehensively in Regulations under NEMA.\textsuperscript{302} This includes extensive provisions relating to notifications to be given to interested and affected parties, the need to keep a register of such parties, and the need to give such parties the opportunity to comment on submissions to authorities.\textsuperscript{303} These requirements are considered to be comparable to the UNFCC guidelines for public participation under CCS.\textsuperscript{304}

The table below reflects a summary of the South African CCS legal and regulatory framework \textit{status quo} in relation to individual phases in the project life cycle. These are set out in the same sequence as is contained in this chapter and aim to provide a synopsis of the position set out in more detail above.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Project life cycle phase</th>
<th>Equivalent articulation in South African legislative / regulatory provision</th>
<th>Comment / Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Selection of storage site</td>
<td>Seepage, environmental or health risks are dealt with in NWA(s 22(e)), MPRDA Regs, NEMA (preamble) and OHS Act (s 8).</td>
<td>Similar terminology used but is not specifically applicable to CCS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Characterisation of storage site</td>
<td>Site development is contained in LUPO. Characterisation is integral to mining and petroleum reconnaissance mentioned in the MPRDA (s1).</td>
<td>Characterisation for CCS is currently unregulated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Risk and safety assessment</td>
<td>The OHS Act (s 1) contains provisions of general application.</td>
<td>The OHS Act may provide guidance in the formulation of CCS specific legislation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>Monitoring is required under the National Nuclear Regulator Act 47 of 1999 and the Disaster Management Act 57 of 2002</td>
<td>Monitoring requirements under these statutes can provide guidance for the compilation of CCS specific legislation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Requirements for financial provision</td>
<td>Financial provision is required under the MPRDA (ss 38(1), 41 and 45) and NEMA (s 24P).</td>
<td>Financial provision is not linked to circumstances specific to CCS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Liability</td>
<td>This is a relationship between the miner and the state under the MPRDA.</td>
<td>The more intricate relationship between the project participant and host party is unregulated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Environmental and socio economic assessment</td>
<td>Air emissions are monitored under NEM:AQA (s 21), waste is dealt with under NEM:WA and the NWA deals with licensing for certain uses of water resources.</td>
<td>NEM:AQUA listed activities could be modified to accommodate CCS, the question is whether CO₂ constitutes waste for the purposes of NEM:WA and a &quot;dangerous good&quot; under NEMA.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## 3.3 Conclusion

Section 24 of the Constitution and the definitions in NEMA and section 24 of NEMA lend themselves to the advancement of CCS. The Constitution and NEMA are considered to be appropriate framework legislation for CCS.

There are a number of areas where specific CCS legislation is necessary. The principles relating to site selection include seepage risks are already required for mining but are not found specifically for CCS and would have to be specifically set out in relation to CCS for consistency with international standards.\(^ {310}\) The requirement of compliance with local laws would require the formulation of those laws in South Africa before participating as a host party under CCS for CDM.\(^ {311}\) There are currently no local laws specifically applicable to CCS. Permanence of storage will be novel to South African legislation. Similarities are found in characterisation with local legislation and CCS for CDM,\(^ {312}\) and these may provide guidelines for CCS specific legislation. The risk and safety assessment in CCS for CDM requires a hazard characterisation, exposure and effects assessment, and risk characterisation.\(^ {313}\) Some of these provisions resonate with local legislation, but are generally insufficiently specific to be applied to CCS.

A requirement for financial provision is found in both mining,\(^ {314}\) under NEMA\(^ {315}\) and CCS for CDM.\(^ {316}\) In particular there is provision in NEMA for the extension thereof which may be viable as local legislation for the purposes of CCS, where appropriate legislation is absent.\(^ {317}\)
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Liability in the case of CCS remains with the project participant until termination of monitoring and compliance with the letter of approval and laws of the host party.\textsuperscript{318} Under the MPRDA, liability transfers when the closure certificate is issued.\textsuperscript{319} Current legal provisions are thus not applicable to CCS but could be applicable in drafting new or amended legislation for CCS.

There are some areas where CCS specific legislation is not required. The provision that the geological site must not be located in international waters requires a determination of the extent of South Africa’s internal waters.\textsuperscript{320} This is a matter of objective determination and does not require CCS specific legislation.

Regarding the requirement of monitoring, part of what is required is already currently used in South African CDM projects\textsuperscript{321} and the geophysical analysis integral to monitoring is already in use in South Africa.\textsuperscript{322}

There are areas where South African legislation is applicable, but need to be supplemented with CCS specific legislation. EIAs are necessary in CCS for CDM in relation to air emissions, solid waste generation and water use.\textsuperscript{323} Regulations under NEM:AQA may be extended to apply to CCS. CCS may be viable for inclusion in activities listed under section 19 of NEM:WA. The NWA will be applicable to CCS in relation to general requirements for water use. The intentional recharging of an aquifer with waste is a controlled activity under the NWA and requires authorisation under the act before it can take place.\textsuperscript{324} Considerations for the issue of authorisation are set out in the NWA.\textsuperscript{325} Comments from local stakeholders required for CCS is similar to public
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participation requirements under NEMA\textsuperscript{326} and could be applied to CCS activities.

In the light of the lack of legislation regulating CCS it will be instructive to determine the status of policy in South Africa to determine the form that the legal and policy framework for CCS might take.

4 Policy steps towards a regulatory framework

4.1 Introduction

As discussed and explained earlier, a regulatory framework entrenched in law does not as yet exist in South Africa for CSS, specifically.\textsuperscript{327} Nor are there policies specifically dedicated to CCS. Key policies advancing CCS will be discussed in order to succinctly set out the status of South African policy in relation to CCS.\textsuperscript{328} Steps in this direction have however been initiated by the Long Term Mitigation Scenarios Process Report.\textsuperscript{329} After this the AGSSA was published indicating possible storage locations for South Africa.\textsuperscript{330} The WPNCCR followed setting out the vision of the South African Government "for an effective climate change response and the long-term, just transition to a climate-resilient and lower-carbon economy and society". Recent CCS initiatives are contained in the 2013/2014 Department of Energy Annual Performance Plan.\textsuperscript{331} These policies provide an understanding of the direction that law and policy may be required to take in determining the legal and policy framework for CCS in South Africa.

\begin{itemize}
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4.2 Long Term Mitigation Scenarios Process Report

The Long Term Mitigation Scenarios Process Report was prepared for the then Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism in 2007. The objective of the report was to emphasise the focus of South Africans on long term mitigation scenarios, prepare for dialogue in relation to climate policy, and negotiate and approve such policy. One of the mitigation measures considered in the report was CCS, in relation to expanded coal-to-liquids production.

The legal relevance thereof is that it is clear that by October 2007 the concept of CCS was already present in the minds of policy makers as a precursor to the consideration thereof by lawmakers as a mitigation option with CCS readiness being a consideration. The report does not specify however how CCS might impact the legal and regulatory environment.

4.3 Atlas on Geological Storage of Carbon Dioxide

The AGSSA developed by the Council for Geosciences and the Petroleum Agency of South Africa in 2010 formed the foundation for future work in relation to CCS in South Africa. It emphasises the necessity for CCS, in that fossil fuels account for ninety per cent of the primary energy in South Africa, and CCS is a means to reduce the carbon footprint of such fossil fuel powered installations. It is relevant to this discussion because it clarifies the South

333 These comprise a range of ambitious but realistic scenarios of climate action in the future for South Africa, mainly dealing with long term emissions and their costs, based on the best available evidence, according to Raubenheimer2007 http://www.erc.uct.ac.za/Research/publications/07Raubenheimer-LTMSProcess_Report.pdf.
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African landscape on sequestration, which clarity is required for the development of effective regulation.

There are five stages contained in the CCS roadmap. The first stage of analysing CCS potential is complete. The AGSSA discusses a number of potential storage sites and concludes that the estimated capacity in South Africa for CCS is 150GT, with most of this being located in the Mesozoic basins along the coastline. This implies that the law relating to coastlines and the sea will be relevant to CCS projects, should such sites be selected.

The second step is generating the AGSSA itself, presenting an overview of the South African energy economy, plans for the future regarding CCS and to show what progress had been made to date. The third is to bring to fruition a test injection in 2016, the fourth a demonstration Plant in 2020 and the last commercialisation of CCS in 2025 with storage of millions of tons of CO₂.

Site characterisation is considered and comprises the characterisation of a suitable, well managed site which is not prone to seismic activity. Monitoring, reporting and verification are considered necessary to ensure that the CO₂ remains in the area where it is injected, and that leaks can be detected. Much of the AGSSA is technical, covering the scientific analyses required for such site selection and monitoring. This will inform legislation specific to monitoring of CCS projects to be incorporated into South African law.
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4.4 White Paper on National Climate Change Response

The WPNCCR sets out South Africa’s strategy for dealing with climate change. It is acknowledged that government has an obligation to "formulate, implement, publish and regularly update policies, measures and programmes to mitigate its emission of GHGs". CCS is discussed initially in the WPNCCR as a mitigation measure for the synthetic fuels industry in the short and medium term. The near-term priority flagship programmes are identified, with frameworks being developed for each programme. These frameworks include plans for implementation, analysis of outcomes, a proposal for benefits such as employment and poverty alleviation and a reporting format.

CCS is listed as a flagship programme under the WPNCCR. All that is set out hereunder is that the Department of Environment together with the South African Energy Research Institute will develop a Carbon Capture and Sequestration Demonstration Plant to store the emissions from an existing high emissions facility. What is to be done under this flagship programme is not spelled out in detail, with reference to "other initiatives" which are not detailed.

4.5 Department of Energy performance plan

The Department of Energy takes the lead in relation to CCS matters. Its Annual Performance Plan sets out anticipated developments in relation to CCS. During the 2013 and 2014 period, the South African National Energy
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Development Institute (hereafter SANEDI) is anticipated to receive state funds for research into CCS.\(^\text{361}\) As part of the clean energy programme a regulatory framework is also anticipated to be developed in support of the objective of test injection by 2016.\(^\text{362}\) This constitutes concrete planning of milestones to be reached towards conducting CCS.

### 4.6 Conclusion

Policy documents contain some guidance on the way forward for South Africa in reaching an environmental law framework applicable to CCS in South Africa as can be seen by the contextualisation of CCS on the environmental law framework. CCS began to be considered as an option for mitigation in the *Long Term Mitigation Scenarios Process Report*.\(^\text{363}\) The AGSSA sets out the steps to be followed under the CCS Roadmap\(^\text{364}\) and determined sites with CCS storage potential.\(^\text{365}\) The WPNCCR lists CCS as a flagship programme and expresses the need to establish a demonstration facility.\(^\text{366}\) Accommodating CCS in the regulatory and legislative landscape of South Africa is considered in the Annual Performance Plan.\(^\text{367}\) The statements contained in the various policies show the emerging clarity of a course of action leading to the implementation of CCS, culminating in the concrete steps for advancement towards CCS in the Department of Energy's Annual Performance Plan.
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5 Conclusion and recommendations for law and policy reform in South Africa

5.1 Introduction

This study started out by indicating that environmental law is a rapidly evolving field and includes the emerging subject of climate change law and governance. It was also stated that within the climate change law and governance fraternity, it is widely agreed that combating climate change requires both mitigative measures and adaptation to its effects where mitigation refers to efforts to reduce or prevent emission of greenhouse gases and adaptation being the process of adjustment to actual or expected climate and its effects in order to moderate harm or exploit beneficial opportunities.

Several mechanisms have already been designed internationally and at the national level to mitigate and/or adapt to climate change. One of these mechanisms is CCS which falls within the portfolio of mitigation options. The importance of CCS was highlighted with reference to the support it enjoys from the fossil fuel industry, for example.

Against this background and based on the environmental threats and opportunities that CCS may hold, the objective of this dissertation was to conduct a critical evaluation of the environmental law framework applicable to CCS in South Africa. In light of the national ambitions and international requirements for CCS it seemed useful to question the regulatory potential and compatibility of South Africa's existing legal framework in relation to CCS. It seemed particularly necessary to look at the country's environmental law and policy framework and the extent to which it is suitable for the regulation of CCS.
The discussion began in Chapter 1 where it was confirmed that CCS has a place in environmental law as a mitigation measure.\textsuperscript{368} The inclusion of CCS in the CDM has been a substantial step which could incentivise the development of environmental law frameworks for CCS in potential host countries, such as South Africa.\textsuperscript{369} The gradual implementation of CCS technology is shown by there being only eight large scale integrated CCS projects around the world.\textsuperscript{370}

In Chapter 2 key scientific concepts helpful for an understanding of the CCS process were explained. The project life cycle and CCS related impacts on the environment provide a context for discussion of the legal requirements accompanying a life cycle in a CCS project.

The Constitution and NEMA constitute appropriate framework legislation for CCS. CCS for CDM provides international legal requirements accompanying the project life cycle against which the South African legal framework are examined in Chapter 3.\textsuperscript{371} This comprises site selection and characterisation, a risk and safety assessment, monitoring, financial provision, liability and environmental and socio-economic impact assessments.\textsuperscript{372} Some provisions of South African legislation will be applicable to CCS depending on the nature of the specific CCS project, but specific regulations will need to be developed for South Africa to arrive at an environmental framework for CCS.

In Chapter 4 policy documents comprising the \textit{Long Term Mitigation Scenarios Process Report},\textsuperscript{373} the AGSSA\textsuperscript{374} and the WPNCCR are discussed.\textsuperscript{375} It may be deduced from these documents that the place of CCS in South African legislation has not been settled.

\begin{itemize}
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5.2 Recommendations for law and policy reform

Whether or not South Africa is desirous of participating in CCS for CDM as a party not included in Annex 1 to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, it is submitted that the requirements for doing so set out a useful guide as to what may be required in the South African environmental framework. These are contained in the participation requirements specific to CCS for CDM. This dissertation accordingly concludes with recommendations that speak to these requirements as well as with some of the most prominent needs and law and policy gaps that have been identified in this study.

5.2.1 Site selection

Site selection is a requirement under CCS for CDM for laws which establish procedures for selection, characterisation and development of appropriate sites. Legislation is not currently in place for selecting a site which does not have a risk of seepage or health or environmental risks. Risk of seepage is a material issue which needs to be legislatively addressed. Health or environmental risks particular to CCS are not completely provided for in legislation under the OHS Act. For CCS to be lawful there must be legislation which sets out how it is to be done. These laws need to prescribe procedures for choosing a site with integrity and safety to minimise the risk of seepage and the consequences thereof on human health and the ecosystem.

5.2.2 Characterisation

The consideration of potential storage sites and assessing the structures present in relation to the requirement of storage may be developed with
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reference to the provisions contained in LUPO in relation to the composition of site development plans and the SPLUM Act requiring coordination between authorities, but requires specificity to cater for CCS.

5.2.2 Rights to store

It is necessary under CCS for CDM for there to be legislation conferring rights to store CO₂ and access pore space, which is not currently catered for. Some regulation may be found at common law but if ownership could be spelt out as is the case in mining, CCS could proceed with greater certainty.

5.2.3 Liability for seepage

It is necessary for specific liability rules regarding CCS to be established under CCS for CDM since common law is unlikely to be of assistance in a situation where the operator no longer exists. Liability regimes internationally include funds financed by industry levies, provision of security and strict liability for operators, as well as the transfer of liability to the State. South Africa needs to choose an option for there to be certainty amongst participants in CCS projects as well as those potentially affected by seepage. Provision for redress of damage to the environment must also be made, which may follow the precedent of the MPRDA for operator liability and the provision of security.

5.2.4 Host party liability

There must be measures for host parties to fulfil their obligations for net reversals of storage, should such obligations be accepted. This follows on from the determination of when liability transfers to the State. It is essentially an acceptance of liability by the State to take responsibility for redress of CO₂ emissions from storage facilities. A decision must be made by the South
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African government as to whether it may admit the prospect of such liability, and that decision must be translated into legislation.

5.2.5 Recommendations for related law and policy gaps and incidental concerns

It is recommended that legislation in relation to areas unique to CCS be developed. Regulations relating to site selection and characterisation would bring certainty in dealing with the risk of seepage where remedial measures might be specified in legislation. This would include the allocation of liability amongst project participants in the event of seepage. Specific health risks such as in relation to acidification of water could be dealt with.\textsuperscript{387} Specific legislation drawing on the \textit{Maritime Zones Act} 15 of 1994 and NEM:ICMA\textsuperscript{388} could be formulated specifying offshore areas where CCS could take place.

The confusion surrounding the categorisation of CO\textsubscript{2} for the purposes of NEM:ICMA could be clarified by specifically excluding the CCS process as an activity involving waste and regulating it separately.\textsuperscript{389} The steps in the risk and safety assessment particular to CCS as contained in paragraph 8 of Appendix B to Decision 10/CMP.7 could be embodied in specific legislation as they are unique to CCS.\textsuperscript{390} The area of hazard characterisation is not specifically found in South African law. This includes analysing potential hazards from CCS, determining potential seepage pathways and the extent and magnitude thereof, as set out in paragraph 9 of Decision 10/CMP.7.\textsuperscript{391}

5.2.6 Remaining areas in need of research

The scope of this study has been limited to the environmental law framework. Further research is required into the economic aspects of CCS where the costs

\textsuperscript{387} As discussed in Paragraph 3.2.1.
\textsuperscript{388} As discussed in Paragraph 3.2.1.
\textsuperscript{389} As discussed in Paragraph 3.2.1.
\textsuperscript{390} As discussed in Paragraph 3.2.2.
\textsuperscript{391} As discussed in paragraph 3.2.2.
of different CCS methods and the comparison of CCS to other mitigation measures are considered. Much of the discussion in literature in relation to CCS focuses on scientific aspects thereof upon which there is considerable ongoing research, such as that under the auspices of UN General Assembly Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice. The political context of CCS is another area of potential research, with countries being dependent on energy while having ambitions to limit global warming and be on a path of sustainable development.

5.2.6 Conclusion

Finally, one can only concur with Glazewski who states that:

...the mainstay of the South African economy has traditionally been mining involving taking natural products out of the ground and processing it; while CCS technology entails the converse. Our legal system is sufficiently malleable to take this novel technology in its stride.

The South African environmental framework applicable to CCS is still in its infancy. Current legislative provisions can be applied to isolated areas of a CCS project where they may find specific application. There is, however, no dedicated and definitive legislation to accommodate the specific requirements of CCS in South Africa. It is hoped that the development thereof will keep pace with the scientific progress in this fascinating area of law.
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Bibliography

**Literature**

16223/2/09 REV 2 (en)

Council of the EU Council Decision concerning the approval on behalf of the EU, of the Amendments of Annex II and Annex III to the Convention for the protection of the marine environment of the North Atlantic (the Ospar Convention) in relation to the storage of CO2 streams in geological formations European Union 25 November 2009

Baines and Worden *Geological storage of carbon dioxide*


Burchfield *Oxford English Dictionary*


Cloete *Atlas on geological storage*

Cloete M *Atlas on geological storage of carbon dioxide in South Africa* (Council for Geoscience Pretoria 2010)

Cook 1999 *Environmental Geosciences*

Cook PJ "Sustainability and non-renewable resources" 1999 *Environmental Geosciences* 185-190

Desbarats "Review of the Public Participation Practices"

Desbarats J "Review of the public participation practices for CCS and non-CCS projects in Europe" (Report of the FP7 project 'NearCO2' Institute for European Environmental Policy 21 January 2010)

Doelle and Lukaweski 2012 *Climate Law*

Doelle M and Lukaweski E "Carbon capture and storage in the CDM: Finding its place among climate mitigation options" 2012 *Climate Law* 49-69
Eggleston *et al* 2006 IPCC Guidelines


Friedrich 2007 *Journal of International Law*

Friedrich J "Carbon capture and storage: A new challenge for international environmental law" 2007 *Journal of International Law* 211-227

Glazewski *Environmental law*

Glazewski J *Environmental law in South Africa* 2nd ed (LexisNexis Durban 2005)

Graus *et al* 2011 *Climate Policy*

Graus W *et al* "The promise of carbon capture and storage: Evaluating the capture-readiness of new EU fossil fuel power plants" 2011 *Climate Policy* 789-812

Holwerda "Refusing Third-party Access"

Holwerda M "Refusing Third-party Access to CO2 Transport and Storage Infrastructure on Technical Grounds" (University of Groningen 4 July 2011)

Jepma and Hauck 2010 *International Review of Environmental and Resource Economics*


Johnson, Nitao and Knauss 2004 *Geological storage of carbon dioxide*

Johnson JW, Nitao JJ and Knauss KG "Reactive transport modelling of CO2 storage in saline aquifers to elucidate fundamental processes, trapping mechanisms, and sequestration partitioning" 2004 *Geological storage of carbon dioxide* 107-128

Metz *et al* IPCC SRCCS 2005

B Metz *et al* (eds) IPCC special report on carbon dioxide capture and storage (Cambridge University Press Cambridge 2005)
Naughten, Darton and Fung 2012 *Energy and Environment*


Peeters 2010 *Electronic Journal of Comparative Law*

Peeters M "Climate law in the Netherlands" 2010 *Electronic Journal of Comparative Law* 1-17

Raubenheimer Long term mitigation scenarios

Raubenheimer S *Long term mitigation scenarios process report* (Energy Research Centre Cape Town 2007)

Scott 2012 *RECIEL*

Scott SV "The Securitization of Climate Change in World Politics: How Close have We Come and would Full Securitization Enhance the Efficacy of Global Climate Change Policy?" 2012 *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 220-230

Shackley and Dütschke 2012 *Energy and Environment*

Shackley S and Dütschke E "Introduction to the Special Issue on CCS Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage - Not a Silver Bullet to Climate Change, But a Feasible Option?" 2012 *Energy and Environment* 209-226

Shaffer 2010 *Nature Geoscience*

Shaffer G "Long-term effectiveness and consequences of carbon dioxide sequestration" 2010 *Nature Geoscience* 464-467

Shalizi and Lecocq 2009 *World Bank Research Observer*

Shalizi Z and Lecocq F "To mitigate or to adapt: Is that the question? Observations on an appropriate response to the climate change challenge to development strategies" 2010 *World Bank Research Observer* 295-321


South African Government Statement by the Office of Marthinus van Schalkwyk, Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism: Appeals against the Environmental Authorisation for the construction of a 5400 mw coal-fired power station in Witbank 17 March 2008 1-13
Stone, Lowe and Shine 2009 *Energy and Environmental Science*

Stone EJ, Lowe JA and Shine KP "The impact of carbon capture and storage on climate" 2009 *Energy and Environmental Science* 81-91

Terwel and Daamen 2012 *Climate Policy*

Terwel BW and Daamen DDL "Initial public reactions to carbon capture and storage (CCS): differentiating general and local views" 2012 *Climate Policy* 288-300

Trabucchi *et al* Valuation of Potential Risks arising from a Model, Commercial Scale CCS Project Site 2-1 Industrial Economics Incorporated 2010

Trabucchi C *et al* Valuation of Potential Risks arising from a Model, Commercial-Scale CCS Project Site 2-1 Industrial Economics Incorporated 2010

Watson (ed) *Carbon capture and storage: realising the potential?*

Watson J *et al* (ed) Carbon capture and storage: realising the potential? *UK Energy Research Centre* April 2012 1-49

Younger 2011 *Aspects Mine Water and the Environment*

Younger PL "Hydrogeological and Geomechanical Aspects of Underground Coal Gasification and its Direct Coupling to Carbon Capture and Storage" 2011 *Mine Water and the Environment* 127-140

Zillman *et al* (eds) *Carbon Economy Energy Law*

Zillman DN *et al* (eds) *Beyond the carbon economy energy law in transition* (Oxford University Press Oxford 2008)

Zobackand Gorelick 2012 *Earthquake triggering and large-scale geologic storage of carbon dioxide*

Zoback MD and Gorelick SM "Earthquake triggering and large-scale geologic storage of carbon dioxide" 2012 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 10164-10168 (also available at http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2012/06/13/1202473109.abstract?sid=3cb84f5a-7b52-461d-bad8-1ae2100a212d)
**Case Law**

**Bareki NO and Another v Gencor Ltd and Others** 2006 2 All SA 392 (T)

**Compagnie Interafricaine de Travaux v South African Transport Services and Others** 1991 2 All SA 155 (A)

**Herschel v Mrupe** 1954 3 SA 489 (A)

**Maccsand (Pty) Ltd v City of Cape Town and Others (Chamber of Mines of South Africa and Another as Amici Curiae)** 2012 7 BCLR 690 (CC)

**Rocher v Registrar of Deeds** 1911 TPD

**Regal v African Superslate (Pty) Ltd** 1963 1 SA 102 (A)

**Legislation**

**Constitution of the Republic of South Africa**, 1996

**Disaster Management Act** 57 of 2002

**Environmental Management: Waste Act** 59 of 2008

**Gas Act** 48 of 2001

**Geoscience Act** 100 of 1993

**Insolvency Act** 24 of 1936

**Local Government: Municipal Systems Act** 32 of 2000

**Land Use Planning Ordinance** 15 of 1985

**Maritime Zones Act** 15 of 1994

**Merchant Shipping Act** 57 of 1951

**Mine Health and Safety Act** 29 of 1996

**Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act** 28 of 2002

**Minerals Act** 50 of 1991

**National Environmental Laws Amendment Act** 14 of 2009

**National Environmental Management Act** 107 of 1998

**National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act** 39 of 2004


National Nuclear Regulator Act 47 of 1999

National Water Act 36 of 1998

Occupational Health and Safety Act 85 of 1993

Petroleum Pipelines Act 60 of 2003

Petroleum Products Act 120 of 1997

Sea-Shore Act 21 of 1935

Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act 16 of 2013

International legislation

State of Victoria Greenhouse Gas Geological Sequestration Act 61 of 2008 (Australia)

Queensland Greenhouse Gas Storage Act 3 of 2009 (Australia)

Queensland Petroleum and Gas (Production and Safety) Act 25 of 2004 (Australia)

Government publications

GN 233 in GG3516019 of 19 March 2012

Government Notice 233 in Government Gazette 3516019 of March 2012 Draft National Norms and Standards for the Remediation of Contaminated Land and Soil Quality

GN 248 in GG 33064 of 31 March 2010

Government Notice 248 in Government Gazette 33064 of 31 March 2010 National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act (39/2004): List of activities which result in atmospheric emissions which have or may have a significant detrimental effect on the environment, including health, social conditions, economic conditions, ecological conditions or social heritage

GN 654 in GG 23574 of 29 April 2005

Government Notice 654 in Government Gazette No 23574 of 29 April 2005: A policy framework for disaster risk management in South Africa
GN 718 in GG 32368 of 3 July 2009

Government Notice 718 in Government Gazette 32368 of 3 July 2009: List of waste management activities that have, or are likely to have a detrimental effect on the environment

GN 779 in GG 35718 of 28 September 2012

Government Notice 779 in Government Gazette 35718 of 28 September 2012: List of waste management activities that have, or are likely to have, a detrimental effect on the environment

GN 964 in GG 35894 of 23 November 2012

Government Notice 964 in Government Gazette 35894 of 23 November 2012: National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act 39 of 2004: List of activities which result in atmospheric emissions which have or may have a significant detrimental effect on the environment, including health, social conditions, economic conditions, ecological conditions or social heritage

GN 1404 in GG 22134 of 1 June 2001


GNR 93 in GG 34308 of 15 January 1997 (as amended by R93 of 27 May 2011)


GNR 321 of 20 April 1997 Piped Gas Regulations


GNR 342 in GG 3905 of 4 April 2008

Government Notice Regulation 342 in Government Gazette 3905 of 4 April 2008: Regulations in terms of the Petroleum Pipelines Act 60 of 2003

GNR 385 in GG 28753 of 21 April 2006

Government Notice Regulation 385 in Government Gazette 28753 of 21 April 2006: Regulations in terms of Chapter 5 of the National Environmental Management Act
GNR 527 in GG 26725 of 23 April 2004


GNR 543 in GG 33306 of 18 June 2010

Government Notice Regulation 543 in Government Gazette 33306 of 18 June 2010: Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations

GNR 544 in GG 33306 of 18 June 2010

Government Notice Regulation 544 in Government Gazette 33306 of 18 June 2010: Listing Notice 1: List of activities and competent authorities identified in terms of sections 24(2) and 24D of NEMA

GNR 545 in GG 33306 of 18 June 2010

Government Notice Regulation 545 in Government Gazette 33306 of 18 June 2010: Listing Notice 2: List of activities and competent authorities identified in terms of sections 24(2) and 24D of NEMA

GNR 546 in GG 33306 of 18 June 2010

Government Notice Regulation 546 in Government Gazette 33306 of 18 June 2010: Listing Notice 3: List of Activities and Competent Authorities Identified in terms of sections 24(2) and 24D

GN 757 in GG 34695 of 20 October 2011


GNR 927 in GG 34735 of 11 November 2011

Government Notice Regulation 927 in Government Gazette 34735 of 11 November 2011: Regulations on the Licensing of Sites for New Nuclear Installations

GNR 992 in GG 2741 of 26 June 1970


**International instruments**

CMP.7

UNFCC: Report of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol on its seventh session held in Durban from 28 November to 11 December 2011 15 March 2012

Directive 2009/31/EC


Decision 3/CMP1

UNFCC Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol held at Montreal from 28 November to 10 December 2001 30 March 2006

Decision 10/CMP.7

UNFCC Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol held in Durban from 28 November to 11 December 2011 15 March 2012

EC CO₂ Storage Life Cycle Risk Management Framework EC 2011

EC Characterisation of the Storage Complex, CO₂ Stream Composition, Monitoring and Corrective Measures EC 2011


LC/SG-CO2 1/7, annex 3

International Atomic Energy Agency Risk Assessment and Management Framework adopted at the joint session of the 28th Consultative Meeting of Contracting Parties under the London Convention and the 1st Meeting of Contracting Parties under the London Protocol 30 October to 3 November 2006

London Protocol

International Atomic Energy Agency Protocol to the Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter IEA 29 December 1972

OSPAR Convention


OSPAR FRAM


United Nations General Assembly Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties on its sixteenth session, held in Cancun from 29 November to 10 December 2010 FCCC/CP/2010/7Add1

UN General Assembly Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties on its sixteenth session, held in Cancun from 29 November to 10 December 2010 FCCC/CP/2010/7Add1

UN General Assembly Carbon dioxide capture and storage in geological formations as clean development mechanism project activities Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice Thirty-third session Cancun Draft decision-/CMP.16 30 November to 4 December 2010 FCCC/SBSTA/2010/L.24

United Nations General Assembly Carbon dioxide capture and storage in geological formations as clean development mechanism project activities Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice Thirty-third session Cancun Draft decision-/CMP.16 30 November to 4 December 2010 FCCC/SBSTA/2010/L.24

Internet sources


Aurecon

Environmental & Social Impact Assessment Report: Khanyisa Coal Fired Power Station, Emalahleni, Mpumalanga (February 2012)

Beck and Garrett 2010
http://www.energy.alberta.ca/CCS/pdfs/CCSrafNoAppD.pdf

Beck B and Garrett J 2010 IEA Carbon Capture and Storage Model Regulatory Framework
http://www.energy.alberta.ca/CCS/pdfs/CCSrafNoAppD.pdf [date of use 12 August 2013]

Boley 2011
http://www.governmentchemist.org.uk/dm_documents/CO2_streams_InitiaI_Report_Approved_UanAQ.pdf

http://www.governmentchemist.org.uk/dm_documents/CO2_streams_Initial_Report_Approved_UanAQ.pdf [date of use 22 June 2013]

CCS Association Date Unknown
http://www.ccsassociation.org/

CCS Association Date Unknown CCS Capture: Frequently Asked Questions http://www.ccsassociation.org/ [date of use 23 June 2013]

CISRO: Post combustion capture 14 October 2011
http://www.csiro.au/science/Post-combustion-capture


Department of Energy

simulation-reservoir-characterization-workflow/ [date of use 5 September 2013].


Global CCS Institute Global status of CCS update: January 2013


Government of Saskatchewan Saskatchewan Carbon Dioxide Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) and Storage Initiative http://www.er.gov.sk.ca/Default.aspx?DN=9eb5e74d-ba2c-486d-8796-ad6008f69180 [date of use 23 June 2012]

Green Tech Malaysia http://cdm.greentechmalaysia.my/faq/faq27.aspx


Havercroft I South Africa's Legal and Regulatory Regime – Insight with Professor Jan Glazewski 10 October 2012 http://www.globalccsinstitute.com/insights/authors/ian-


Lang and Mutschler http://www.germanenergyblog.de/?page_id=3061

Lang M and Mutschler U *Overview of German Carbon Capture and Storage Law* http://www.germanenergyblog.de/?page_id=3061 [date of use 9 September 2012]


National Mining Association http://www.nma.org/ccs/carboncapture.asp

**National Mining Association** *Carbon Capture and Storage: Clean Coal Technologies for Carbon Management* http://www.nma.org/ccs/carboncapture.asp [date of use 8 September 2012]


NETL 2011 http://www.netl.doe.gov

**National Energy Technology Laboratory** *April 2011 CO2 at the Interface: Nature and Dynamics of the Reservoir/Caprock Contact and Implications for Storage Performance* http://www.netl.doe.gov/publications/factsheets/project/FE0004844.pdf [date of use 8 September 2012]

83
Taylor D CO₂ leakage - how important is it? 2012
http://www.globalccsinstitute.com/insights/authors/derektaylor/2012/03/27/co2-leakage-how-important-it [date of use 30 August 2013]

Tot et al 2011

Tot M et al Techno-economic assessment of carbon capture and storage deployment in power stations in the Southern African and Balkan regions May 2011
http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTENERGY2/Resources/CCS_in_Balkan_and_Southern_African_power_systems.pdf [date of use 12 September 2012]

UCL http://www.ucl.ac.uk/cclp/cssover.php

UCL Carbon Capture Legal Programme – What is CCS
http://www.ucl.ac.uk/cclp/cssover.php [date of use 13 September 2012]

UNEP http://www.unep.org/climatechange/mitigation/

UNEP Climate Change Mitigation
http://www.unep.org/climatechange/mitigation/ [date of use 9 July 2012]

UNFCC http://cdm.unfcc.int/DOE/index.html

UNFCC Designated Operational Entities
http://cdm.unfcc.int/DOE/index.html [date of use 18 July 2012]

UNFCC
http://cdm.unfcc.int/about/ccb/CDM_Cobenefits_Kuyasa_SouthAfrica.pdf

UNFCC CDM project co-benefits in Cape Town, South Africa
http://cdm.unfcc.int/about/ccb/CDM_Cobenefits_Kuyasa_SouthAfrica.pdf [date of use 18 July 2012]

UNFCC http://cdm.unfcc.int/Reference/PDDs_Forms/PDDs/PDD_form05.pdf

UNFCC Project Design Document Form for CDM Project Activities
http://cdm.unfcc.int/Reference/PDDs_Forms/PDDs/PDD_form05.pdf [date of use 5 September 2013]

UNFCC http://cdm.unfcc.int/about/index.html [date of use 30 October 2012]

UNFCC http://cdm.unfcc.int/about/index.html [date of use 30 October 2012]
UNFCC [http://unfcc.int/bodies/body/6399.php](http://unfcc.int/bodies/body/6399.php)

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change **Scientific Body for Scientific and Technological Advice**

http://unfcc.int/bodies/body/6399.php [date of use 8 July 2012]


United Nations *What is the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change?*


US DOE *Risk analysis and Simulation for Geological Storage of CO₂* 2011


Widdicome


Widdicome S *Marine impacts and risk assessment* Presentation at Workshop of the Nottingham Centre for Carbon Capture and Storage, 21 February 2012

http://ncccs.ac.uk/ncccs/documents/steve-widdicombe.pdf [date of use 24 June 2012]


http://www.irgc.org/IMG/pdf/Policy_Brief_CCS.pdf [date of use 8 September 2012]