



# **The effects of chemical and physical properties of chars derived from inertinite-rich, high ash coals on gasification reaction kinetics**

**Gregory Nworah Okolo**

B.Eng (Chem. Eng.) (ESUT, Enugu, Nigeria)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the  
degree Master of Engineering in Chemical Engineering at the  
Potchefstroom Campus of North-West University, South Africa.

Supervisor: Prof. R. C. Everson

Co-supervisor: Prof. H. W. J. P Neomagus

November 2010

Potchefstroom

“Any fact facing us is not as important as our attitude towards it,  
for that determines our success or failure”

**-Norman Vincent Peale-**

---

## DEDICATION

---

This dissertation is gratefully dedicated to the loving memory of my late sister,  
Miss Juliana Okolo, who passed on to glory on the 13<sup>th</sup> of April, 2008.

May her gentle soul rest in perfect peace!

Eternal rest grant unto her Oh Lord..., And let perpetual light shine upon her..., May  
she rest in peace.... Amen

---

## DECLARATION

---

I, **Gregory Nworah Okolo**, do hereby declare that the dissertation with the title: “**The effects of chemical and physical properties of chars derived from inertinite-rich, high ash coals on gasification reaction kinetics**”, submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Engineering (Chemical Engineering) is my work and has not been submitted at any other university either in part or as a whole.

Signed at Potchefstroom on the.....day of .....2010.

.....  
Gregory N. Okolo

---

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

---

The author expresses his appreciation and gratefully acknowledges the following people for their help, contribution and assistance during the course of this research:

- The Almighty God and our Mother Mary, for the spiritual support, guidance, courage and wisdom to persevere to the end.
- Prof. Ray Everson and Prof. Hein Neomagus for their excellent foresight, guidance and assistance, invaluable suggestions, criticisms and magnanimous supervisorship, without which this investigation would not have been successful.
- Prof. Harold Schobert of Penn State University for his advice, suggestions and discussions on coal characterisation and carbon crystallite analysis.
- Prof. Frans Waanders and Prof. John Bunt for their priceless, suggestions and discussions and for editing the original draft of the dissertation.
- Dr. Sabine Verryn (XRD Analytical and Consulting cc), for carbon crystallite analyses; and Mrs Vivien du Cann (Petrographic SA), for petrographic analyses of the samples and interpretation of the results.
- My Mum and Dad, brother and sisters: Ejiyke, Juliet, Vero, Agatha and Paschal; for their prayers, love, morale support, perseverance, and patience.
- My former boss Engr. Charles Chidebelu and Engr. Patrick ThankGod for their motivation and support.
- Mr. Jan Kroeze and Mr. Adrian Brock for keeping the experimental apparatus in excellent and safe condition.
- The Coal Research Group for their co-operation and lively arguments during the weekly presentations.
- All the personnel of the School of Chemical and Minerals Engineering.
- My big friends in Jo'burg: Ezebuilo Hyginus A., Alum Gerald O., Nweke Humphery N., Eluwa Nnamdi S., Okereke Chidozie P., Duru Nnamdi K., Ogbonna Kelechi Micheal, Anyaoku Kingsley C., Ifeanyi Blessing Emmanuel..... ***Jide ka unu ji !!!!!!!!!***

---

## ABSTRACT

---

With the increasing global energy demand and the decreasing availability of good quality coals, a better understanding of the important properties that control the behaviour of low-grade coals and the subsequent chars in various utilisation processes, becomes pertinent. An investigation was therefore undertaken, to study the effects of chemical and physical properties imparted on chars during pyrolysis on the subsequent gasification reaction kinetics of typical South African inertinite-rich, high ash Highveld coals. An attempt was made at following these changes in the transition from coals to chars by a detailed characterisation of both the parent coals and the respective chars. These changes were determined using various conventional and advanced techniques, which included among others, carbon crystallite analysis using XRD and char carbon forms analysis using petrography.

Three of the four original coals were characterised as Bituminous Medium rank C (coals B, C and C2), while coal D2 was found to be slightly lower in rank (Bituminous Medium rank D). The coals were rich in inertinites ( $> 54 \text{ vol. } \%$ , *mmb* with coal C2 having as high as  $79 \text{ vol. } \%$ , *mmb*) and high in ash content ( $> 26.7 \text{ wt. } \%$ , *db*) and cabominerite and minerite contents ( $26 - 39 \text{ vol. } \%$ , *mmb*). The inertinite-vitrinite ratios of the coals were found to range from 1.93 to 26.3.

Characterization results show that both volatile matter and inherent moisture content decreased, while ash, fixed carbon and elemental carbon contents increased from coals to chars, indicating that the pyrolysis process was efficient. Elemental hydrogen, oxygen and nitrogen contents decreased, whereas total sulphur contents increased from coals to chars. This reveals that the total sulphur contained in the char samples was associated with the char carbon matrix and the minerals. Hydrogen-carbon and oxygen-carbon ratios decreased considerably from coals to chars showing that the chars are more aromatic and denser products than the original coals. Despite the fact that mineral matter increased from coals to chars, the relative abundance of the different mineral phases and ash components did not exhibit significant variation amongst the samples. The alkali index was, however, found to vary considerably among the subsequent chars. Petrographic analysis of the coals and char carbon forms analysis of the chars reveal that total reactive components (*TRC*) decrease while the

total inert components (*TIC*) increase from coals to chars. The 0% gain in *TIC* observed in char C2 was attributed to its relatively high partially reacted maceral char carbon forms content. Total maceral reflectance shifted to higher values in the chars (4.43 - 5.28 *Rsc%*) relative to the coals (1.15 - 1.63 *Rsc%*) suggesting a higher structural ordering in the chars. Carbon crystallite analyses revealed that the chars were condensed (smaller in size) relative to the parent coals. Lattice parameters: inter-layer spacing,  $d_{002}$ , increased, while the average crystallite height,  $L_c$ , crystallite diameter,  $L_a$ , and number of aromatic layers per crystallite,  $N_{ave}$ , decreased from coals to chars. Carbon aromaticity generally increased whereas the fraction of amorphous carbon and the degree of disorder index decreased from parent coals to the respective chars. Both micropore surface area and microporosity were observed to increase while the average micropore diameter decreased from coals to chars. This shows that blind and closed micropores were “opened up” during the charring process.

Despite the original coal samples not showing much variation in their properties (except for their maceral content), it was generally observed that the subsequent chars exhibited substantial differences, both amongst themselves and from the parent coals. The increasing orders of magnitude of micropore surface area, microporosity, fraction of amorphous carbon and structural disorderliness were found to change in the transition, a good indication that the chars’ properties varied from that of the respective parent coals.

Isothermal  $CO_2$  gasification experiments were conducted on the chars in a Thermax 500 thermogravimetric analyser in the temperature range of 900 - 950 °C with varying concentrations of  $CO_2$  (25 - 100 mol. %) in the  $CO_2-N_2$  reaction gas mixture at ambient pressure (0.875 bar in Potchefstroom). The effects of temperature and  $CO_2$  concentration were observed to be in conformity with established trends. The initial reactivity of the chars was found to increase in the order: chars C2 < C < B < D2, with char D2 reactivity greater than the reactivity of the other chars by a factor > 4.

Gasification reactivity results were correlated with properties of the parent coals and chars. Except for the rank parameter (the vitrinite reflectance), no significant trend was observed with any other coal petrographic property. Correlations with char properties gave more significant and systematic trends. Major factors affecting the gasification reactivity of the chars as it pertains to this investigation are: parent coal

vitrinite reflectance, and: aromaticity, fraction of amorphous carbon, degree of disorder and alkali indices, micropore surface area, microporosity and average micropore diameter of the chars.

The random pore model (chemical reaction controlling) was found to adequately describe the gasification reaction experimental data (both conversions and conversion rates). The determined activation energy ranged from  $163.3 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  for char D2 to  $235.7 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  for char B; while the order of reaction with respect to  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration ranged between 0.52 to 0.67 for the four chars. The lower activation energy of char D2 was possibly due to its lower rank, lower coal vitrinite reflectance and higher alkali index. The estimated kinetic parameters of the chars in this study correspond very well with published results in open literature. It was possible to express the intrinsic reactivity,  $r_s$ , of the chars (rate of carbon conversion per unit total surface area) using kinetic results, in empirical Arrhenius forms.

**Keywords:** Inertinite-rich coal, Coal and char properties, Char carbon forms, Carbon crystallite analysis, Carbon dioxide gasification, kinetic modelling.

---



---

## OPSOMMING

---

Die toeneemlike gebruik van lae graad steenkool word al hoe meer 'n realiteit, as gevolg van hoë kwaliteit steenkole wat verkwis word om te voorsien in die behoeftes van die groeiende energiekwessie dwarsoor die wêreld. Dit is dus van uiterste belang dat 'n goeie begrip rondom die eienskappe en gedrag van lae graad steenkole en hulle gevolglike sintels gevorm word om sodanig gebruik te word in verskeie kommersiële prosesse. 'n Studie was dus onderneem om die effek van chemiese- en fisiese eienskappe van die gevormde sintels van verskeie lae graad steenkole op die gevolglike vergassings reaksie kinetika van tipiese Suid-Afrikaanse inertiniet ryk, hoë as steenkole te ondersoek. 'n Poging was aangewend om die verandering in die karakteristieke eienskappe van steenkool tot die vorming van sintels te monitor, deur gebruik te maak van 'n gedetailleerde karakteriserings ondersoek op beide die rou steenkole en hulle gevormde sintels. Konvensionele- en gevorderde metodes soos koolstof kristallografie met behulp van XRD en sintels koolstof vorm analise met behulp van petrografie is ingespan.

Drie van die vier steenkole wat gebruik is, is gekarakteriseer as Bitumineuse Gemiddelde Rang C (steenkole B, C en C2) steenkole, terwyl dit gevind is dat steenkool D2 egter 'n effense laer rang gehad het (Bitumineuse Gemiddelde Rang D). Al vier steenkole het hoë inhoude van inertiniet (> 54 vol. %, mineraal basis met steenkool C2 wat die hoogste inhoud gehad het met 79 vol. % mineraal basis), as (> 26.7 wt. %, droë basis), korbomineriet en mineriet (26-39 vol. %, mineraal basis) bevat. Die inertiniet-vitriniet verhoudings van die vier steenkole het gewissel tussen ongeveer 1.93 en 26.3.

Vanuit die karakteriserings resultate was dit duidelik dat beide die inherente waterinhoude en vlugtige stofinhoude afneem, terwyl die aswaardes, vaste koolstofinhoude en elementêre koolstof inhoude dienooreenkomstig toeneem vanaf steenkool tot sintels. Hieruit kon dit afgelei word dat die pirolise proses, vir die generering van sintels, effektief was. Hiermee saam het die elementêre waterstof-, suurstof- en stikstof inhoude ook afgeneem, terwyl die swawel inhoud toegeneem het. Die verhoogde swawel vlakke in die sintels toon aan dat dit hoogs waarskynlik meer geassosieer is met die koolstof/sintels koolstofmatriks as met die anorganiese minerale. Die waterstof-koolstof- en suurstof-koolstof verhoudings het ook 'n noemenswaardige afname getoon van steenkool tot sintel, wat aandui dat die

gevormde sintels meer aromaties en digter is as die oorspronklike steenkole. Ten spyte van die feit dat minerale inhoud toeneem van steenkool na sintels kon daar geen noemenswaardige variasie tussen die relatiewe voorkoms van die verskeie mineraalfases en askomponente onderskei word nie. Dit is egter gevind dat die alkali indeks noemenswaardig varieer tussen die verskillende sintels. Petrografiese analise op die steenkole en sintels koolstof vormanalise op die sintels het getoon dat die totale reaktiewe komponente (*TRC*) afneem terwyl die totale inerte komponente (*TIC*) toeneem van steenkool na sintels. Die 0% toename in *TIC* vir sintels C2 kan toegeskryf word aan sy relatiewe hoë inhoud van parsieël gereageerde maserale sintels koolstofvorm. Totale maserale reflektiwiteit was aansienlik hoër vir die sintel (4.43 – 5.28 % *R<sub>sc</sub>*) as vir die oorspronklike steenkole (1.15 – 1.63 % *R<sub>sc</sub>*), wat op 'n hoër strukturele geordenheid van die sintels dui. Vanuit koolstof kristallografie analise was dit duidelik dat die sintels meer gekondenseer (kleiner in grootte) is as die oorspronklike steenkole. Kristalstruktuur parameters soos die inter-laag spasieëring ( $d_{002}$ ) het toegeneem, terwyl die gemiddelde kristalhoogte ( $L_c$ ), kristaldiameter ( $L_a$ ) en aantal aromatisiese lae per kristal afgeneem het van steenkool na sintels. Koolstof aromatisiteit het gevolglik toegeneem, terwyl die fraksie van amorge koolstof en graad van wanordelikeheidsindeks afgeneem het van steenkool na sintels. Vanuit 'n fisiese perspektief het beide die mikroporieuse oppervlakarea en mikroporositeit toegeneem terwyl die gemiddelde mikroporieuse diameter afgeneem het vanaf steenkool tot sintels. Hieruit kan afgelei word dat “blinde” en geslote mikroporieë geopen het gedurende die pirolise proses.

Algeheel was daar geen duidelike verskil tussen karakteristieke eienskappe van die oorspronklike steenkole nie, behalwe vir die verskil in maserale inhoud. In kontras het daar groot karakteristieke verskille bestaan tussen die verskillende sintels asook van hulle oorspronklike steenkole. Die toenemende orde van grootte van mikroporieuse oppervlakarea, fraksie van amorge koolstof en strukturele ongeordenheid dui grotendeels daarop dat die sintels grootliks verskillend is van hulle oorspronklike steenkole.

Isotermiese  $CO_2$  vergassing is gedoen om die reaktiwiteit van die gevormde sintels te toets. Vir hierdie doeleinde is gebruik gemaak van 'n Thermax 500 termogravimetriese analiseerder. Temperature tussen 900 en 950 °C en  $CO_2$  konsentrasies van 25 to 100 mol. % ( $CO_2-N_2$  reaksie gasmengsel) by atmosferiese druk is gebruik (0.875 bar in Potchefstroom) om die sintelsreaktiwiteit te assesser.

Die effek van temperatuur en  $CO_2$  konsentrasie op die reaktiwiteit van die sintels het ooreengestem met wat gevind is in literatuur. Hiermee saam het die aanvanklike (inisiële) reaktiwiteit van die sintels afgeneem in die volgende orde:  $C2 < C < B < D2$  met sintel D2 wat se reaktiwiteit 'n faktor 4 groter was as die ander sintels.

Vergassings reaktiwiteitsresultate is verder gekorreleer met die karakteristieke eienskappe van die oorspronklike steenkole en die sintels. Geen ander noemenswaardige korrelasie is verkry tussen die petrografiese eienskappe van die steenkole nie, behalwe vir die vitriniet reflektiwiteit. Korrelasies met die sintels-eienskappe het meer sistematiese verduidelikings gelewer. Vir die betrokke studie was die belangrikste faktore wat 'n rol gespeel het in vergassingsreaktiwiteit: oorspronklike steenkool vitriniet reflektiwiteit; aromatisiteit-, fraksie amorfe koolstof-, graad van wanordelikhedsindeks-, mikroporieuse oppervlakte-, mikroporositeit- en gemiddelde mikroporie diameter van die sintels.

Die eksperimentele vergassingsresultate (beide omsetting en reaksietempo) kon akkuraat beskryf word deur die willekeurige poriemodel (chemiese reaksie beherend). Die bepaalde aktiveringsenergieë het gewissel tussen  $163.3 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  vir sintel D2 tot  $235.7 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  vir sintels B, terwyl die reaksie-orde met betrekking tot  $CO_2$  konsentrasie gewissel het tussen 0.52 en 0.67 vir die vier sintels. Die lae aktiveringsenergie van sintels D2 kan heel waarskynlik toegeskryf word aan die steenkool se lae vitriniet reflektiwiteit en hoër alkali indeks. Die beraamde kinetiese parameters van die sintels toon goeie ooreenstemming met wat bevind is in literatuur. Dit was verder ook moontlik om die intrinsieke reaktiwiteit, van die sintels (tempo van koolstofomsetting per eenheidsoppervlakarea) uit te druk deur gebruik te maak van kinetiese resultate in empiriese Arrhenius vorms.

**Sleutelwoorde:** Inertniet-ryke steenkool, Sintels koolstof vorme, Steenkool en sintels eienskappe, Koolstof kristal analise, Koolstofdiksied vergassing, kinetiese modellering.

---

---

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>Dedication</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>Declaration</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acknowledgement</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>Opsomming</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>Table of contents</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of figures</b> .....	<b>xvi</b>
<b>List of tables</b> .....	<b>xix</b>
<b>Nomenclature</b> .....	<b>xxi</b>
<b>Greek symbols</b> .....	<b>xxiv</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>xxv</b>
<b>Conference Presentation Resulting from this Investigation</b> .....	<b>xxviii</b>
<b>Chapter 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction .....	1
1.2 Background Information and Motivation .....	2
1.2.1 Clean Coal Technologies .....	4
1.3 Hypotheses of the Study .....	6
1.4 The Objectives of the Study .....	7
1.5 Scope of the Research Work .....	9
<b>Chapter 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	<b>11</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	11
2.2 Coal Gasification .....	12
2.2.1 The Industry Context of Coal Gasification .....	13
2.2.2 History of Coal Gasification .....	14
2.2.3 Modern Coal Gasification .....	15
2.3 The Coal Gasification Process .....	17
2.4 Chemical and Physical Structure of Coal and Char .....	18
2.4.1 Chemical and Physical Structure of Coal .....	19
2.4.2 Chemical and Physical Structure of Coal Char .....	23
2.4.3 The Crystallite Structure of the Carbon Basic Structural Unit (BSU).....	27
2.5 Coal, Char and Gasification Reactivity .....	30
2.6 Factors Influencing Gasification Reactivity .....	31
2.6.1 Properties of the Parent Coal .....	32
2.6.1.1 Volatile Matter Content .....	32

2.6.1.2	<i>Fixed Carbon Content</i> .....	32
2.6.1.3	<i>Petrographic Properties of Coal</i> .....	33
2.6.2	Pyrolysis Conditions and Heat Treatment .....	34
2.6.3	Chemical Structure and Composition of Coal and Char.....	38
2.6.4	Changes in Carbon Crystallite Properties .....	39
2.6.5	Catalysis by Mineral Matter.....	42
2.6.6	Physical Structural Properties of Chars .....	45
2.6.6.1	<i>Total Surface Area</i> .....	45
2.6.6.2	<i>Active Surface Area</i> .....	46
2.6.6.3	<i>Surface Complex Concentration during Reaction</i> .....	47
2.7	Methods of Measuring Gasification Reactivity.....	48
2.7.1	Thermogravimetric Analysers .....	48
2.8	Char- $CO_2$ Gasification Reactions.....	50
2.8.1	Char- $CO_2$ Reaction Mechanism.....	50
2.9	Heterogeneous Char-Gas Kinetics.....	51
2.9.1	Reaction Rate Models .....	51
2.9.2	Overall $CO_2$ Gasification Kinetics.....	54
2.10	Homogeneous Gas-Phase Reactions .....	55
2.11	Structural Kinetic Models .....	56
2.11.1	The Volume Reaction Model .....	57
2.11.2	Shrinking Core Model .....	58
2.11.3	Random Pore Model.....	59
<b>Chapter 3:</b>	<b>COAL AND CHAR CHARACTERISATION</b> .....	<b>60</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	60
3.2	Origin of Coal Samples .....	61
3.3	Sample Preparation.....	61
3.4	Char Preparation at 900 °C .....	62
3.4.1	Charring Apparatus and Procedure.....	63
3.5	Coal and Char Characterisation Analyses .....	65
3.6	Coal and Char Characterisation Equipment and Techniques .....	66
3.6.1	Chemical Analyses.....	66
3.6.2	X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Mineral Analysis.....	67
3.6.3	Ash Analysis (XRF).....	68
3.6.4	X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Carbon Crystallite Analysis.....	69
3.6.5	Petrographic Analysis .....	73
3.6.6	Structural Analysis.....	74
3.6.6.1	<i>CO<sub>2</sub> Adsorption Analysis</i> .....	75
3.6.6.2	<i>Helium Pycnometry</i> .....	76
3.7	Characterisation Results and Discussion.....	77
3.7.1	Chemical Analyses.....	77
3.7.2	XRD Mineral Analyses.....	80
3.7.3	Ash Analysis (XRF).....	83

3.7.4	X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Carbon Crystallite Analysis .....	85
3.7.4.1	<i>Determination of Aromaticity of Coal and Char Samples</i> .....	91
3.7.4.2	<i>Determination of Fraction of Amorphous Carbon of the Coal and Char Samples</i> .....	92
3.7.5	Petrographic Analyses .....	98
3.7.5.1	<i>Reflectance Properties</i> .....	98
3.7.5.2	<i>Maceral Analysis of Parent Coals</i> .....	101
3.7.5.3	<i>Microlithotype Analysis of Parent Coals</i> .....	103
3.7.5.4	<i>Carbominerite and Minerite Analysis of Parent Coals</i> .....	105
3.7.5.5	<i>General Condition of Coal Samples</i> .....	106
3.7.6	Char Carbon Forms Analysis.....	107
3.7.7	Physical Structural Analysis: Coal and Char Samples .....	118
3.8	Summary.....	124

**Chapter 4: EXPERIMENTAL: CHAR GASIFICATION WITH CARBON DIOXIDE ..... 128**

4.1	Introduction .....	128
4.2	Materials Used .....	129
4.2.1	Coals and Subsequent Chars .....	129
4.2.2	Reactant Gases .....	129
4.3	Reactivity Equipment: Thermogravimetry .....	130
4.3.1	Thermax 500 Thermogravimetric Analyser (TGA).....	130
4.3.2	Gas Supply .....	134
4.3.3	Data Acquisition Interface .....	134
4.4	Experimental Procedure .....	135
4.5	TGA Experimental Programme.....	136

**Chapter 5: GASIFICATION WITH CARBON DIOXIDE: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ..... 138**

5.1	Introduction .....	138
5.2	Normalisation of the Experimental Results.....	139
5.3	Reproducibility of the Experimental Results.....	141
5.4	Effect of Operating Conditions on Char- $CO_2$ Gasification Reactivity.....	141
5.4.1	Effect of Isothermal Temperature of Reaction .....	141
5.4.2	Effect of $CO_2$ Concentration in the Reaction Gas .....	143
5.5	Determination of the $CO_2$ Reactivity of the Chars .....	144
5.6	Effect of Coal and Char Properties on $CO_2$ Reactivity of the Chars .....	147
5.6.1	Effect of Parent Coals Petrographic Properties .....	147
5.6.1.1	<i>Effect of Maceral Index and Modified Reactive Maceral Index of the Parent Coals</i> .....	149
5.6.1.2	<i>Effect of Rank Parameter of the Parent Coals</i> .....	152
5.6.2	Influence of Char Properties on Char- $CO_2$ Reactivity.....	153

5.6.2.1	<i>Influence of Char Petrography (Char- TRC and TIC)</i> .....	153
5.6.2.2	<i>Influence of Char Carbon Crystallite (Chemical Structural) Properties</i> .....	155
5.6.2.2.1	<i>Influence of Char Aromaticity</i> .....	155
5.6.2.2.2	<i>Influence of Fraction of Amorphous Carbon in Char</i> .....	156
5.6.2.2.3	<i>Influence of Degree of Disorder Index of chars</i> .....	157
5.6.2.3	<i>Inherent Catalytic Effects of Ash Components of Chars</i> .....	158
5.6.2.4	<i>Effect of Physical Structural Properties of Chars</i> .....	159
5.6.2.4.1	<i>Effect of Micropore Surface Area of Chars</i> .....	159
5.6.2.4.2	<i>Influence of Average Micropore Diameter of Chars</i> .....	160
5.6.2.4.3	<i>Influence of Char Porosity</i> .....	160
5.7	Comparison of the $CO_2$ Reactivity of the four Chars .....	161
5.8	Summary.....	164
 <b>Chapter 6: CHAR GASIFICATION WITH CARBON DIOXIDE: KINETIC MODELLING AND PARAMETERS EVALUATION</b> .....		<b>166</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	166
6.2	The Random Pore Model.....	167
6.3	The Random Pore Model Equation .....	168
6.4	Validation Procedure .....	171
6.5	Evaluation of Kinetic Parameters .....	173
6.5.1	Evaluation of the Structural Factor, $\psi$ .....	174
6.5.2	Determination of the Time Factor, $t_f$ .....	176
6.5.3	Determination of Activation Energy, $E_a$ .....	178
6.5.4	Determination of Order of Reaction, $m$ .....	181
6.5.5	Determination of Lumped Pre-exponential Factor, $k'_{so}$ .....	183
6.6	Validation of Kinetic Model and Associated Parameters.....	184
6.7	Summary.....	189
 <b>Chapter 7: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....		<b>191</b>
7.1	Introduction .....	191
7.2	General Conclusions.....	192
7.3	Contributions to Knowledge Base of Coal Science and Technology .....	195
7.4	Recommendations for Future Studies .....	196
 <b>REFERENCES</b> .....		<b>199</b>
 <b>APPENDICES</b> .....		<b>220</b>
 <b>APPENDIX A</b> .....		<b>221</b>
<b>Coal and Char Characterisation and Results</b> .....		<b>221</b>
A-1	Description of Standard Methods Used for Characterisation.....	221

A-2	Vitrinite Reflectance Scan Histograms of Coal Samples.....	222
A-3	Total Maceral Reflectance Scan Histograms of Coal and Char samples..	223
A-4	Outline of Classification System for Char Carbon Forms. ....	225
<b>APPENDIX B .....</b>		<b>226</b>
<b>Char-<math>CO_2</math> Gasification Reactivity Results.....</b>		<b>226</b>
B-1	Reproducibility of Experimental Results and Reactivity of the Chars .....	226
B-2	Determination of $CO_2$ Reactivity of the Chars.....	228
B-3	Effect of Isothermal Temperature of Reaction on the Char Reactivity.....	230
B-4	Effect of $CO_2$ Concentration in the Reaction Gas on Char Reactivity.....	232
B-5	Comparison of $CO_2$ Reactivity of the Four Chars.....	234
<b>APPENDIX C .....</b>		<b>236</b>
<b>Evaluation of Kinetic Parameters and Gasification Modelling.....</b>		<b>236</b>
C-1	Summary of Structural Parameter, Time Factor and Initial Reactivity of the Chars .....	236
C-2	Dimensionless Plots for Chars C, C2 and D2.....	239
C-3	Comparison of Experimental and Model Gasification Results for Chars C, C2 and D2.....	240
<b>APPENDIX D .....</b>		<b>242</b>
<b>Model Validation: Random Pore Model (RPM).....</b>		<b>242</b>
D-1	RPM Fitting to the Experimental Data of Chars B, C, C2 and D2.....	242
D-2	RPM Fitting of Char Conversion Rate to Experimental Results for the Chars ... .....	244



---

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

Figure 1.1: Total world energy supply and generation by fuel respectively in 2006 ....	2
Figure 1.2: Scope of the research work. ....	9
Figure 2.1: Coal gasification products .....	15
Figure 2.2: Molecular model for the inertinite-rich Highveld coal. ....	22
Figure 2.3: Molecular model for the vitrinite-rich Waterberg coal .....	22
Figure 2.4: A schematic representation of the structural changes that occurs upon heating of coal.....	24
Figure 2.5: Geometry optimised structural conformations of average coal and char molecules and intermediates, in the coal to char pyrolysis reaction.....	26
Figure 2.6: Schematic representation of a crystallite of graphite .....	27
Figure 3.1: Experimental setup for char preparation .....	63
Figure 3.2: Raw diffractograms of coal and char samples.....	87
Figure 3.3: Corrected and smoothened diffractograms of coal and char samples.....	88
Figure 3.4: Comparison of coal and char diffractograms for samples B and C.....	90
Figure 3.5: Determination of area under $d_{002}$ and $\gamma$ - band using HighScore Plus for coal B and char C2.....	92
Figure 3.6: Determination of amorphous fraction of carbon, $X_A$ , from (002) profile of coal C2 and char C.....	93
Figure 3.7: Relationship between aromaticity and fraction of amorphous carbon and the atomic ratios of hydrogen and oxygen to carbon in coal samples. ....	96
Figure 3.8: Relationship between various crystallite parameters of char samples. ....	97
Figure 3.9: Photomicrographs of different categories of char carbon forms. ....	111
Figure 3.10: Photomicrographs of different categories of char carbon forms.....	112
Figure 3.11: Photomicrographs of different categories of char carbon forms.....	113
Figure 3.12: Comparison of parent coals macerals and their specific char carbon forms in the chars. ....	117
Figure 3.13: Comparison of the total inert and reactive components in the parent coals and the resultant chars.....	118
Figure 3.14: Skeletal density of coal and char samples. ....	118
Figure 3.15: Micropore surface area of coal and char samples. ....	119
Figure 3.16: $CO_2$ adsorption isotherm plots for coal and char samples.....	122
Figure 3.17: Comparison of the $CO_2$ adsorption isotherm plots for coals and chars: B, C, C2 and D2.....	123
Figure 4.1: Schematic representation of Thermax 500 TGA showing the essential parts and gas flow system. ....	131
Figure 4.2: Photograph of Thermax 500 TGA showing the essential parts.....	132
Figure 5.1: Typical mass loss curve for char C2 at 900 °C, 100% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar...	139
Figure 5.2: Conversion-time plot for char C2 at 900 °C, 100% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar. ....	140
Figure 5.3: Effect of temperature on the $CO_2$ reactivity of the chars at different constant $CO_2$ concentrations, 0.875 bar. ....	142

Figure 5.4: Effect of $CO_2$ concentration on the char reactivity at various constant isothermal temperatures, 0.875 bar. ....	143
Figure 5.5: Rate of reaction versus fractional conversion for the chars at 25% $CO_2$ concentration, 0.875 bar. ....	145
Figure 5.6: Relationship between the initial reactivity of the chars and the petrographic properties of the parent coals at 100% and 75% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar. ....	148
Figure 5.7: Relationship between initial reactivity of the chars and the $MI$ and $RMI^*$ of the parent coals at 100% and 75% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar. ....	152
Figure 5.8: Relationship between the initial reactivity of the chars and the vitrinite reflectance ( $R_r$ %) of the parent coals at 100% and 75% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar. ....	153
Figure 5.9: Relationship between the initial reactivity of the chars with the char $TRC$ at 100% and 75% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar. ....	154
Figure 5.10: Relationship between the initial reactivity of the chars and the aromaticity of the char samples at 50% and 25% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar. ....	155
Figure 5.11: Relationship between the initial reactivity of the chars and the fraction of amorphous carbon in chars at 50% and 25% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar. ....	156
Figure 5.12: Relationship between the initial reactivity of chars and the degree of disorder index, $DOI$ , at 50% and 25% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar. ....	157
Figure 5.13: Influence of the alkali index on the initial reactivity of the chars at 50% and 25% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar. ....	158
Figure 5.14: Influence of the D-R micropore surface area of chars on their initial reactivity at 100% and 75% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar. ....	159
Figure 5.15: Influence of the average micropore diameter of chars on the initial reactivity at 100% and 75% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar. ....	160
Figure 5.16: Influence of porosity of char on the initial reactivity at 100% and 75% $CO_2$ , 0.875 bar. ....	161
Figure 5.17: Comparison of $CO_2$ reactivity of the chars at various temperatures and $CO_2$ concentrations, 0.875 bar. ....	162
Figure 6.1: Comparison of the gasification experimental and model results for char B, 0.875 bar. ....	176
Figure 6.2: Arrhenius plots of the char- $CO_2$ gasification reaction at 100, 75, 50 and 25% $CO_2$ concentrations, 0.875 bar. ....	180
Figure 6.3: Determination of char- $CO_2$ gasification reaction order for chars B and C at various temperature and constant $CO_2$ concentrations, 0.875 bar. ....	181
Figure 6.4: Determination of char- $CO_2$ gasification reaction order for chars C2 and D2 at various temperature and constant $CO_2$ concentrations, 0.875 bar. ....	182
Figure 6.5: Parity plot of predicted versus actual $t_f$ values for all four char samples. ....	184
Figure 6.6: Comparison between experimental and model gasification results for... char B. ....	186
Figure 6.7: RPM fitting of char conversion at different $CO_2$ concentrations. ....	187
Figure 6.8: RPM fitting of char conversion rate for chars B, C, C2 and D2 at 25% $CO_2$ concentration and different temperatures. ....	188
Figure A-1: Vitrinite reflectance scan histogram of the coal samples. ....	222

Figure A-2: Total maceral reflectance scan histogram for coals and chars: B and C. .....	223
Figure A-3: Total maceral reflectance scan histogram for coals and chars: C2 and D2. .....	224
Figure B-1: Reproducibility results for chars B, C, C2 and D2 at different experimental conditions, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	226
Figure B-2: Rate of reaction versus fractional conversion for chars B and C at different experimental conditions, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	228
Figure B-3: Rate of reaction versus fractional conversion for chars C2 and D2 at different experimental conditions, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	229
Figure B-4: Effect of temperature on the $CO_2$ reactivity of chars B and C, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . .....	230
Figure B-5: Effect of temperature on the $CO_2$ reactivity of chars C2 and D2, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	231
Figure B-6: Effect of $CO_2$ concentration on the reactivity of chars B and C, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . .....	232
Figure B-7: Effect of $CO_2$ concentration on the reactivity of chars C2 and D2, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	233
Figure B-8: Comparison of the $CO_2$ reactivity of chars at 100 and 75% $CO_2$ concentration, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	234
Figure B-9: Comparison of the $CO_2$ reactivity of chars at 50 and 25% $CO_2$ concentration, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	235
Figure C-1: Dimensionless plots of conversion versus reduced time for chars C and C2. ....	239
Figure C-2: Dimensionless plot of conversion versus reduced time for char D2. ....	240
Figure C-3: Comparison between the experimental and model gasification results of char C. ....	240
Figure C-4: Comparison between the experimental and the model gasification results of chars C2 and D2. ....	241
Figure D-1: RPM fitting of the experimental results of chars B and C at 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	242
Figure D-2: RPM fitting of the experimental results of chars C, C2 and D2 at 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	243
Figure D-3: RPM fitting of the experimental results of char D2 at 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	244
Figure D-4: RPM fitting of the char conversion rates for char B at 25% and 50% $CO_2$ concentration and different temperatures. ....	244
Figure D-5: RPM fitting of the char conversion rates for chars B, C, C2 at different experimental conditions, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	245
Figure D-6: RPM fitting of the char conversion rates for chars C and D2 at different experimental conditions, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	246

---

## LIST OF TABLES

---

Table 2.1: Gasification based power generating plants. ....	16
Table 2.2: Some of the Plants using FBDB™ as Sasol®. ....	17
Table 2.3: Basic structures and functional groups in coal .....	38
Table 3.1: Size requirements for coal and char characterisation analyses.....	62
Table 3.2: Char production conditions.....	64
Table 3.3: Char yield after production.....	65
Table 3.4: Characterisation analyses conducted on the coal and char samples. ....	65
Table 3.5: Analytical methods used for chemical and mineralogical analysis.....	66
Table 3.6: Analysis parameters and settings on the XRD system for mineral analysis. .....	67
Table 3.7: Analysis parameters and settings on the XRD system for carbon crystallite analysis.....	70
Table 3.8: Result of proximate and chemical analyses of coal and char samples. ....	79
Table 3.9: Percentage of graphite and total crystalline mineral phases of the coal and char samples from XRD results. ....	81
Table 3.10: Mineral abundance of coals and chars (graphite free bases, ( <i>wt. %, gfb</i> )). .....	82
Table 3.11: Char sample ash chemistry on <i>LOI</i> and sulphur free basis ( <i>wt. %, lfb and sfb</i> ).....	84
Table 3.12: Proximate analysis of raw and demineralised coal and char samples ( <i>wt. %, db</i> ). ....	86
Table 3.13: Comparison of aromaticity results from HighScore Plus and Origin 6.1.	91
Table 3.14: Determination of amorphous fraction of carbon for coal C2 and char C.	94
Table 3.15: Result on carbon crystallite analysis using XRD. ....	94
Table 3.16: Reflectance properties of coal and char samples.....	100
Table 3.17: Maceral component summary of the coal samples ( <i>vol. %, mmb</i> ). ....	101
Table 3.18: Maceral compositions of coal samples ( <i>vol. %, mmb</i> ). ....	102
Table 3.19: Microlithotype analysis of coal sample ( <i>vol. %, mmb</i> ). ....	104
Table 3.20: Carbominerite and minerite results as percentage of total carbominerite and minerite ( <i>vol. %, mmb</i> ). ....	106
Table 3.21: Char carbon forms analysis result ( <i>vol. %, mmb</i> ). ....	109
Table 3.22: Total reactive and inert components of coal and char samples ( <i>vol. %, mmb</i> ) .....	116
Table 3.23: Physical structural properties of coal and char samples. ....	120
Table 4.1 Specifications of gaseous reagents. ....	129
Table 4.2: Thermax 500 TGA specifications.....	133
Table 4.3: Reaction conditions for char- <i>CO</i> <sub>2</sub> gasification experiments. ....	137
Table 5.1: Determined initial gasification reactivity, <i>R</i> of the char at various operating conditions, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	146

Table 5.2: Results used to evaluate the maceral index ( <i>MI</i> ) and the modified reactive maceral index ( <i>RMI*</i> ) of the parent coals on mineral matter free basis ( <i>mmfb</i> ).....	151
Table 6.1: Dimensionless structural parameters for the char pores. ....	174
Table 6.2: Summary of the structural parameter, time factors and initial reactivity for char B, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	177
Table 6.3: Details of results of activation energy for the char samples at different <i>CO</i> <sub>2</sub> concentrations in the reaction gas, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	179
Table 6.4: Details of result for reaction order with respect to <i>CO</i> <sub>2</sub> concentration at different temperatures and constant <i>CO</i> <sub>2</sub> gas composition at 0.875 <i>bar</i> .....	182
Table 6.5: Determination of lumped pre-exponential factor for the four char samples, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	183
Table 6.6: Summary of structural and kinetic parameters for chars B, C, C2 and D2 .....	184
Table 6.7: Models used, structural parameter, and kinetic parameters obtained for char- <i>CO</i> <sub>2</sub> gasification reaction by other investigators. ....	185
Table B-1: Analysis of reproducibility and experimental error. ....	227
Table C-1: Summary of the structural parameter, time factor and initial reactivity for char C, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	236
Table C-2: Summary of the structural parameter, time factor and initial reactivity for char C2, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	237
Table C-3: Summary of the structural parameter, time factor and initial reactivity for char D2, 0.875 <i>bar</i> . ....	238

---

## NOMENCLATURE

---

Symbol	Description	Unit
$A$	Breadth of X-ray beam	mm
$A(\theta)$	Absorption factor	-
$A, k_{so}$	Pre-exponential factor	$\text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{bar}^{-m}$
$A_{002}$	Area under the (002) peak	$\text{\AA}^2$
$A_f$	Final ash content of coal or char after demineralisation	wt. %
$A_i$	Original ash content of coal or char before demineralisation	wt. %
AI	Alkali index	-
$A_x$	Breadth of X-ray	cm
$A_\gamma$	Area under the $\gamma$ gamma side band of (002) peak	$\text{\AA}^2$
$C_g$	Concentration of gaseous reactant	$\text{mole} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$
CV	Calorific value	$\text{MJ} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$
$d_{002}$	Inter-layer spacing for a group of $N_{ave}$ parallel layers	$\text{\AA}$
$d_p$	Average diameter of coal or char particles	$\mu\text{m}, \text{mm}$
$D_p$	Pore diameter / average pore diameter	$\text{\AA}$
$E$	Activation Energy	$\text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$
$E_d$	Effectiveness of demineralisation	%
$f(X)$	Structural factor	$\text{m}^{-1}$
$f_a$	Carbon aromaticity	-
GCV	Gross calorific value	$\text{MJ} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$
$H_a$	Hydrogen aromaticity	-
$I$	X-ray reduced intensity / X-ray intensity	Atomic units / counts
$I_{002}$	Reduced intensity due to (002) reflection	atomic units
$I_{am}$	X-ray reduced intensity due to amorphous carbon	atomic units
$I_{cr}$	X-ray reduced intensity due to crystalline carbon	atomic units
$I_{max}$	Maximum reduced intensity of (002) peak	atomic units
$K$	Constant depending on X-ray reflection plane	-
K	Absolute temperature scale	K
$k, k_1, k_2, k_3$	Reaction rate constant	$\text{min}^{-1}$
$k'_{so}$	Lumped pre-exponential factor	$\text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{bar}^{-m}$

---

Symbol	Description	Unit
$k_{so}$	Pre-exponential factor	$\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{bar}^{-\text{m}}$
$k_v$	Intrinsic rate constant of the volume reaction model.	$\text{min}^{-1}$
$K\alpha_1$	X-ray radiation from Cobalt due to $K_{\alpha_1}$	counts
$K\alpha_2$	X-ray radiation from Cobalt due to $K_{\alpha_2}$	counts
$L_a$	Crystallite diameter	$\text{\AA}$
$L_c$	Crystallite height	$\text{\AA}$
$L_o$	Total pore length per unit volume	$\text{m}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$
$M$	Molarity of acid	M
$m$	Order of reaction with respect to CO2 concentration	-
$m_{ash}$	mass of ash	mg
MI	Maceral index	-
$m_o$	Initial mass of char	mg
$m_t$	Mass of char at time, t	mg
$N_{ave}$	Average number of aromatic layers per carbon crystallite	-
$P_n$	Fraction of aromatic carbon contained within the $d_{002}$ peak	-
R	Ideal gas constant	$\text{J}\cdot\text{K}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$
$R$	Initial reactivity of the chars	$\text{min}^{-1}$
$r_1, r_2, r_3$	Reaction rates	$\text{min}^{-1}$
RMI	Reactive maceral index	-
RMI*	Modified reactive maceral index	-
Rr	Mean random vitrinite reflectance	%
$r_s$	reaction rate	$\text{m}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$
Rsc	Mean random maceral reflectance	%
s	$2\sin\theta/\lambda$	$\text{\AA}^{-1}$
$S_{max}$	Value of s ( $2\sin\theta/\lambda$ ) at which $I_{max}$ occurs	$\text{\AA}^{-1}$
$S_o$	Initial surface area	$\text{m}^2\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$
$T$	Temperature	$^{\circ}\text{C}$ or K
t	Time	min
$t_{0.5}$	Time for fractional carbon conversion of 50%	min
$t_{0.9}$	Time for fractional carbon conversion of 90%	min
$t_f$	Time factor	$\text{min}^{-1}$

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Unit</b>
$V$	Volume per unit mass	$\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$
$X$	Fractional conversion of carbon	-
$X_A$	Fraction of amorphous carbon	-
$y_{CO}$	Molar fraction / partial pressure of $CO$	- / bar
$y_{CO_2}$	Molar fraction / partial pressure of $CO_2$	- / bar



---

## GREEK SYMBOLS

---

Symbol	Description	Unit
$\lambda$	Wavelength of incident X-ray	Å
$\beta$	Full width at half maximum of the corresponding peak or band	degrees (°)
$\varepsilon_o$	Initial porosity of char samples	%
$\theta$	Peak position / XRD angle of scan	degrees (°)
$\theta_{002}$	Peak position of (002) peak	degrees (°)
$\theta_{10}$	Peak position of (10) peak	degrees (°)
$\theta_{11}$	Peak position of (11) Peak	degrees (°)
$\mu/\rho$	Absorption coefficient for Cobalt- $K\alpha$ radiation	-
$\rho$	Skeletal density / density of coal or char samples	kg·m <sup>-3</sup>
$\rho', \rho_c$	Bulk density of coal or char sample	kg·m <sup>-3</sup>
$\sigma$	Standard deviation	various unit
$\tau$	Dimensionless time	-
$\Delta s_{E_a}$	Slope of $\ln(t_f)$ against $T^{-1}$ at constant $CO_2$ concentration	K <sup>-1</sup>
$\Delta s_t$	Slope of the plot of real time $t$ , against $\sqrt{1 - \psi \ln(1 - X)} - 1$ ,	min
$\tau_{0.9}$	Dimensionless time at 90% conversion	-
$\psi$	Dimensionless structural parameter for char pores	-

---

---

## ABBREVIATIONS

---

<b>Acronym</b>	<b>Meaning</b>
% Ave. Dev.	Percent average deviation
A.S.M.E.	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
ACT	Advanced Coal Technology, Pretoria
adb	Air dry basis
afb	Ash free basis
Afrox	African Oxygen
AI	Alkali index
ASA	Active surface area
ASAP	Accelerated surface area and porosimetry
ASTM	American Society for Testing Materials
Ave.	Average value
Ave. Dev.	Average deviation
BET	Brunauer-Emmett-Teller Method
BFBC	Bubbling fluidised bed combustion
Bit. Med.	Bituminous Medium Rank
BSU	Basic structural unit
Cat.	Category
CCT	Clean Coal Technology
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CFBC	Circulating fluidised bed combustion
daf	Dry ash free basis
db	Dry basis
Demin	Demineralised coal or char sample
DME	Department of Minerals and Energy
dmmb	Dry mineral matter basis
DOI	Degree of disorder index
D-R	Dubinin-Radushkevich method
DTF	Drop tube furnace
EFR	Entrained flow reactor

---

<b>Acronym</b>	<b>Meaning</b>
ESKOM	South African Electricity Supply Commission
ESS	Error sum of squares
FBC	Fluidised bed combustion
FBDB	Fixed bed dry Bottom gasifier
FBG	Fluidised bed gasification
FC	Fixed carbon
Fig.	Figure
FWHM	Full width at half maximum
gfb	Graphite (carbon) free basis
H/C	Hydrogen-carbon atomic ratio
HCL	Hydrochloric acid
HF	Hydrofluoric acid
H-K	Horvath-Kawazoe method
HP	Helium pycnometry
HPTGA	High pressure thermogravimetric analyser
HRTEM	High resolution transmission electron microscopy
HTR	Horizontal tube reactor
ID	Identity
IGCC	Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle
IR	Infra-red
ISO	International Standard Organisation
lfb	<i>LOI</i> free basis
LMO	Local molecular orientation
LOI	Loss on ignition
LTB	Lithium tetraborate
MIP	Mercury intrusion porosimetry
mmb	Visible mineral matter basis
mmfb	Visible mineral matter free basis
MOD	Molecular orientation domain
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance
$NO_x$	Oxides of nitrogen
NWU	North-West University

<b>Acronym</b>	<b>Meaning</b>
O/C	Oxygen-carbon atomic ratio
PBBR	Packed bed balance reactor
PCC	Pulverised coal combustion
PCI	Pulverised coal injection
PDTF	Pressurised drop tube furnace
PF	Pulverised fuel
PFB	Pressurised fluidised bed
Pp	Page number / pages
PSD	Position sensitive detectors
rpm	Revolution per minute
RPM	Random pore model
SA	South Africa
SABS	South African Bureau of Standards
SCM	Shrinking core model
SO <sub>x</sub>	Oxides of sulphur
sfb	Sulphur free basis
TGA	Thermogravimetric Analyser
TIC	Total inert components
TPD	Temperature programmed desorption
TRC	Total reactive components
TSA	Total surface area
UCG	Underground Coal Gasification
UNFCCC	The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VM	Volatile matter content
vol. %	Volume percent
VRM	Volumetric reaction model
VTR	Vertical tube reactor
WCI	World Coal Institute
wt. %	Weight percent
XRD	X-ray diffraction
XRF	X-ray fluorescence

The nomenclatures for the petrographic analyses are provided in the relevant sections of Chapter 3 and Appendix A.

---

## **Conference Presentation Resulting from this Investigation**

---

- Okolo, G.N., Everson, R.C. and Neomagus, H.W.J.P. (2010). The effects of chemical and physical properties of chars derived from inertinite-rich, high ash coals on  $CO_2$  gasification reaction kinetics. Presented at the Fossil Fuel Foundation of Africa 15<sup>th</sup> Southern African Conference on Clean Coal Energy, 17-18<sup>th</sup> November, 2010, Johannesburg, South Africa.